arXiv:1401.0154v2 [math.PR] 22 Oct 2014

Spectral and asymptotic properties of Grover walks on crystal lattices

Yusuke Higuchi,[1] * Norio Konno,[2] † Iwao Sato,[3] ‡ Etsuo Segawa,[4] §

[1] Mathematics Laboratories, College of Arts and Sciences, Showa University

Fuji-Yoshida, Yamanashi 403-005, Japan

[2] Department of Applied Mathematics, Faculty of Engineering, Yokohama National University

Hodogaya, Yokohama 240-8501, Japan

[3] Oyama National College of Technology,

Oyama, Tochigi 323-0806, Japan

[4] Graduate School of Information Sciences, Tohoku University

Aoba, Sendai 980-8579, Japan

Abstract. We propose a twisted Szegedy walk for estimating the limit behavior of a discrete-time quantum walk on a crystal lattice, an infinite abelian covering graph, whose notion was introduced by [14]. First, we show that the spectrum of the twisted Szegedy walk on the quotient graph can be expressed by mapping the spectrum of a twisted random walk onto the unit circle. Secondly, we show that the spatial Fourier transform of the twisted Szegedy walk on a finite graph with appropriate parameters becomes the Grover walk on its infinite abelian covering graph. Finally, as an application, we show that if the Betti number of the quotient graph is strictly greater than one, then localization is ensured with some appropriated initial state. We also compute the limit density function for the Grover walk on $\mathbb{Z}^d$ with flip flop shift, which implies the coexistence of linear spreading and localization. We partially obtain the abstractive shape of the limit density function: the support is within the d-dimensional sphere of radius $1/\sqrt{d}$, and 2^d singular points reside on the sphere's surface.

1 Introduction

Quantum walks have been intensively studied from various perspectives. A primitive form of a discrete-time quantum walk on $\mathbb{Z}$ can be found in the so called Feynman's checker board [3]. An actual discrete-time quantum walk itself was introduced in a study of quantum probabilistic theory [4]. The Grover walk on general graphs was proposed in [22]. This quantum walk has been intensively-investigated in quantum information theory and spectral

*higuchi@cas.showa-u.ac.jp

†konno@ynu.ac.jp

‡isato@oyama-ct.ac.jp

§Corresponding author: e-segawa@m.tohoku.ac.jp

Key words and phrases. Quantum walks on crystal lattice, weak limit theorem

graph theory [1, 5], and is known to accomplish a quantum speed up search in certain cases. A review on applications of quantum walks to the quantum search algorithms is presented in [1]. To enable more abstract interpretation of these quantum search algorithms, the Grover walk was generalized to the Szegedy walk in 2004 [19]. One advance of the Szegedy walk is that the performance of the quantum search algorithm based on the Szegedy walk is usually evaluated by hitting time of the underlying random walk.

In this paper, we introduce a "twisted" Szegedy walk on $\ell^2(D)$ for a given graph $G = (V, D)$, where V and D are the sets of vertices and arcs, respectively. In parallel, we also present a twisted (random) walk on $\ell^2(V)$ underlying the quantum walk on $\ell^2(D)$ for providing a spectral mapping theory. Study on this twisted random walks has been well developed; the effects of some spatial structures of the crystal lattice on the return probability and the central limit theorems of the random walks were clarified [13, 14], for example. To elucidate the relationship between the spectra of the twisted random walk and the twisted Szegedy walk, we introduce new boundary operators $d_A, d_B : \ell^2(D) \to \ell^2(V)$. Then we show that $\mathcal{L} \equiv d_A^*(\ell^2(V)) + d_B^*(\ell^2(V)) \subset \ell^2(D)$ is invariant under the action of the twisted Szegedy walk. Here $d_A^*, d_B^* : \ell^2(V) \to \ell^2(D)$ are the adjoint operators of d_A and d_B, respectively. Careful observation reveals that the eigenvalues of the twisted random walk on $\ell^2(V)$ describe the "real parts" of the eigenvalues of the quantum walk (Proposition 1). Thus we call the eigenspace $\mathcal{L}$ the inherited part from the twisted random walk. The remainder of the eigenspace; $\mathcal{L}^\perp$, is expressed by the intersection of the kernels of the boundary operators d_A and d_B. For a typical boundary operator of graphs $\partial : C_1 \to C_0$, $\ker(\partial)$ is generated by all closed paths of G. Here $C_1 = \sum_{e \in D(G)} \mathbb{Z}\delta_e$ and $C_0 = \sum_{v \in V(G)} \mathbb{Z}\delta_v$. We show that the orthogonal complement space $\mathcal{L}^\perp = \ker(d_A) \cap \ker(d_B)$ is also characterized by all closed paths of G (Theorem 1). This fact implies that there is a homological abstraction within the Grover walk, and this abstraction is crucial to provide a typical stochastic behavior called localization (see Theorem 2).

There are many types of mapping theorems. For example, Higuchi and Shirai provided how the spectra of the Laplacian changes under graph-operations in [8]. They mapped the spectrum of the Laplacian of the original graph G to those of the line graph LG, the subdivision graph SG and the para-line graph PG of the original graph G. Our work proposes an alternative mapping theorem for discrete-time quantum walks. The twist is equivalent to the vector potential in the context of the quantum graph in [6]. We have partially succeeded in finding a spectral mapping theorem and relating the discrete-time quantum walk to the quantum graph of a finite regular covering graph in [6]. A spectral result on quantum walks on a graph with two infinite half lines has been also obtained in [2] from the view point of a scattering quantum theory. Clarifying the connections between these related topics [2, 6, 8] and this presented work is an interesting future problem.

As an application of our proposed mapping theorem, we show that the Grover walk on a crystal lattice leads to not only localization but also linear spreading in some cases. We find that the absolutely continuous part of the spectrum of the random walk on the crystal lattice is responsible for linear spreading of the quantum walk (Theorem 3).

The limit distribution of discrete-time quantum walks on the 1-dimensional square lattice is the well-known Konno density function f_K [9, 10]. On the 2-dimensional square lattice, the limit distribution is explicitly obtained in special cases of quantum coins i.g., [21]. On d-dimensional square lattice with $d \geq 3$, although the asymptotics of the return probability at

the origin with the moving shift is discussed in [17], almost all of the limit distributions have yet to be clarified. Here we obtain the partial shape of the limit distributions of the Grover walk on $\mathbb{Z}^d$: namely, we show that the support of the density function is contained by the d-dimensional ball of radius $1/\sqrt{d}$ and whose surface holds 2^d singular points (Theorems 5 and 6).

This paper is organized as follows. In Sect. 2, we propose the twisted Szegedy walk and provide the spectral mapping theory of the twisted Szegedy walk from a twisted random walk. Section 3 is devoted to the Grover walk on the crystal lattice and its Fourier transform. In Sect. 4, we illustrate our concepts by concrete computations for triangular, hexagonal and d-dimensional square lattices to show exhibiting both linear spreading and localization on each of them. Finally we compute the limit density function of the Grover walk on $\mathbb{Z}^d$.

2 Twisted Szegedy walks on graphs

2.1 Definition of the twisted Szegedy walks on graphs

First, we explain the graph construction. Let $G = (V(G), E(G))$ be a connected graph (G may have multiple edges and self-loops) with a set $V = V(G)$ of vertices and a set $E = E(G)$ of unoriented edges. The set of arcs $D = D(G)$ is naturally extracted from $E(G)$ as follows: the origin and the terminal vertices of an arc $e \in D(G)$ are denoted by $o(e)$ and $t(e)$, respectively. The inverse arc of e is denoted by $\bar{e}$. So $e \in D(G)$ if and only if $o(e)t(e) \in E(G)$. For a vertex v of G, $\deg(v)$ stands for degree of v. A path p is a sequence of arcs $(e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n)$ with $t(e_j) = o(e_{j+1})$ for any $j \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$. We denote the origin and terminus of a path p as $o(p)$, and $t(p)$, respectively. If $\{o(e_j)\}_{j=1}^n$ are distinct, then the path p is called a simple path. We define the sets of all paths and simple ones of graph G by $P(G)$ and $P_0(G)$, respectively. If $t(e_n) = o(e_1)$ for $p = (e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n) \in P_0(G)$ holds, then p is called an essential cycle.

For a countable set $\Gamma = \{\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots\}$, we denote by $\ell^2(\Gamma)$ the square summable Hilbert space generated by Γ whose inner product is defined by

$$\langle \psi, \phi \rangle = \sum_j \overline{\psi(\gamma_j)} \phi(\gamma_j),$$

where $\overline{c}$ ($c \in \mathbb{C}$) is the complex conjugate of c. We take the standard basis of $\ell^2(D(G))$ as δ_e, ($e \in D(G)$), such that $\delta_e(f) = 1$ if $e = f$ and $\delta_e(f) = 0$ otherwise. At each vertex $u \in V(G)$, we define the subspace $\mathcal{H}_u \subset \ell^2(D(G))$ by

$$\mathcal{H}_u = \mathrm{span}\{\delta_e; o(e) = u\}.$$

Thus $\ell^2(D(G)) = \bigoplus_{u \in V(G)} \mathcal{H}_u$ holds. Define $w : D(G) \to \mathbb{C}$ called a *weight* such that $w(e) \neq 0$ for all $e \in D(G)$ and

$$\sum_{e: o(e) = u} |w(e)|^2 = 1 \text{ for all } u \in V(G).$$

A function $\theta : D(G) \to \mathbb{R}$ is called a 1-*form* if

$$\theta(\bar{e}) = -\theta(e) \text{ for any } e \in D(G).$$

To define the generalized Szegedy walk, we introduce two kinds of (weighted) boundary operators:

Definition 1. *Let d_A, d_B be boundary operators for $\phi \in \ell^2(D(G))$ and $v \in V(G)$ such that*

$$(d_A\phi)(v) = \sum_{e:o(e)=v} \phi(e)\overline{w(e)}, \quad (d_B\phi)(v) = \sum_{e:o(e)=v} \phi(\bar{e})\overline{w(e)}e^{-i\theta(e)}, \tag{2.1}$$

respectively. Here the symbol i stands for the imaginary unit.

The coboundary operators of d_A^* and d_B^* are determined by for $f \in \ell^2(V(G))$ and $e \in D(G)$,

$$(d_A^*f)(e) = w(e)f(o(e)), \quad (d_B^*f)(e) = e^{-i\theta(e)}w(\bar{e})f(t(e)), \tag{2.2}$$

respectively from the relationship $\langle \phi, d_J^*f\rangle_D = \langle d_J\phi, f\rangle_V$ $(J \in \{A, B\})$ with $f \in \ell^2(V(G))$ and $\phi \in \ell^2(D(G))$. Here for any $\phi_1, \phi_2 \in \ell^2(D(G))$ and $f_1, f_2 \in \ell^2(V(G))$,

$$\langle \phi_1, \phi_2\rangle_D = \sum_{e\in D(G)} \overline{\phi_1(e)}\phi_2(e), \ \langle f_1, f_2\rangle_V = \sum_{v\in V(G)} \overline{f_1(v)}f_2(v).$$

Lemma 1. *It holds that $d_Ad_A^* = d_Bd_B^* = I_V$. On the other hand, the operators $d_A^*d_A$ and $d_B^*d_B$ are the projections onto the subspaces*

$$\mathcal{A} \equiv d_A^*\left(\ \ell^2(V(G))\ \right) \subset \ell^2(D(G)) \ \text{ and } \ \mathcal{B} \equiv d_B^*\left(\ \ell^2(V(G))\ \right) \subset \ell^2(D(G)), \tag{2.3}$$

respectively. So we have

$$d_A(\ \ell^2(D(G))\ ) = d_B(\ \ell^2(D(G))\ ) = \ell^2(V(G)).$$

Definition 2. *The twisted Szegedy walk associated with the weight w and the 1-form θ is defined as follows:*

(1) The total state space $\mathcal{H}$: $\mathcal{H} = \ell^2(D(G))$.

(2) Time evolution $U^{(w,\theta)}$: $U^{(w,\theta)} = S^{(\theta)}C^{(w)}$. Here

(a) The coin operator: $C^{(w)} = 2d_A^*d_A - I$

(b) The (twisted) shift operator: for $f \in \ell^2(D(G))$,

$$(S^{(\theta)}f)(e) = \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\theta(e)}f(\bar{e}).$$

(3) The finding probability: let $\Psi_n \in \ell^2(D(G))$ be the n-th iteration of the walk such that $\Psi_n = U^{(w,\theta)}\Psi_{n-1}$ $(n \in \{1, 2, \dots\})$ with $||\Psi_0|| = 1$. We define $\nu_n : V(G) \to [0, 1]$ such that

$$\nu_n(u) = \sum_{e:o(e)=u} |\Psi_n(e)|^2.$$

$\nu_n(u)$ is called a finding probability of the twisted Szegedy walk at time n at vertex u.

We remark that ν_n is a probability distribution by the unitarity of the time evolution $U^{(w,\theta)}$. The motivation introducing the 1-form θ to the quantum walk will be clear in Sect. 3.

Remark 1. *On some regular graphs, there exists two possible choices of the shift operators: moving shift S_m and flip-flop shift S_f. For one-dimensional square lattice, these shift operators are given by*

$$(S_m\psi)((x,x+j)) = \psi((x-j,x)),$$
$$(S_f\psi)((x,x+j)) = \psi((x+j,x)), \ \ (j\in\{\pm 1\},\ x\in\mathbb{Z}).$$

The moving shift maintains the direction of arcs while the flip-flop shift reverses them. However on a general graph, the direction, and hence the moving shift operator, is not uniquely determined. Moreover, any moving shift type quantum walks on unoriented graphs can be generated by permutating the local coin operator of a flip-flop shift type quantum walk. For one-dimensional square lattice, the time evolution of the flip-flop shift $U_f(H)$ is related to the moving shift $U_m(H)$ with local coin operator H as follows:

$$U_m(H) = \mathcal{P}U_f(H\mathcal{P})\mathcal{P}.$$

Here $\mathcal{P}g((x,x+j)) = g((x,x-j))$. More detailed and general discussions are given in Sect. 2 in [5]. For these reasons, we apply the flip-flop shift operator in this paper. Discrete-time quantum walks with the moving shift on an oriented triangular lattice are implemented in [11].

2.2 Spectral map of the twisted Szegedy walk

We compare the dynamics of the twisted Szegedy walk to the underlying random walk. To this end, we introduce $P(e):\mathcal{H}_{o(e)}\to\mathcal{H}_{t(e)}$ $(e\in D(G))$ by

$$P(e) = \Pi_{\delta_{\bar{e}}}U^{(w,\theta)}\Pi_{\mathcal{H}_{o(e)}}. \tag{2.4}$$

Throughout this paper, we define $\Pi_{\mathcal{H}'}$ as the orthogonal projection onto $\mathcal{H}'$, a subspace $\mathcal{H}'$ of $\mathcal{H}$. For $e\in D(G)$, we illustrate $P(e)$ in the following matrix expression: letting $\{e_1,\dots,e_\kappa\}$ and $\{f_1,\dots,f_{\kappa'}\}$ ($\kappa=\deg(o(e))$, $\kappa'=\deg(t(e))$) be all arcs with $o(e_j)=o(e)$ $(j\in\{1,\dots,\kappa\})$ and $o(f_j)=t(e)$ $(j\in\{1,\dots,\kappa'\})$, respectively,

$$P(e) = \begin{array}{c|cccc} & e & e_2 & \cdots & e_\kappa \\ \hline \bar{e} & (2|w(e)|^2-1)e^{i\theta(e)} & 2\overline{w(e_2)}w(e)e^{i\theta(e)} & \cdots & 2\overline{w(e_\kappa)}w(e)e^{i\theta(e)} \\ f_2 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ f_{\kappa'} & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{array},$$

where we put $e_1=e$ and $f_1=\bar{e}$. Given an initial state $\Psi_0\in\ell^2(D(G))$ with $||\Psi_0||^2=1$, let $\Psi_n:V(G)\to\ell^2(D(G))$ be $\Psi_n(u)=\Pi_{\mathcal{H}_u}(U^{(w,\theta)})^n\Psi_0$ for $n\in\mathbb{N}$. Then we have the following recurrence relation:

$$\Psi_n(u) = \sum_{e:t(e)=u} P(e)\Psi_{n-1}(o(e)),\ (n\geq 1), \tag{2.5}$$

since

$$\Psi_n(u) = \Pi_{\mathcal{H}_u}(U^{(w,\theta)}) \sum_{v\in V(G)} \Psi_{n-1}(v) = \sum_{e:o(e)=u} \Pi_{\delta_e}(U^{(w,\theta)})\Psi_{n-1}(o(\bar{e}))$$
$$= \sum_{e:o(e)=u} \Pi_{\delta_e}(U^{(w,\theta)})\Pi_{\mathcal{H}_{o(\bar{e})}}\Psi_{n-1}(o(\bar{e})) = \sum_{e:t(e)=u} P(e)\Psi_{n-1}(o(e)).$$

This expression is analogous to the random walk on G with transition probability $\{p(e) : e \in D(G)\}$, where $p(e) \in (0,1]$ and $\sum_{e:o(e)=u} p(e) = 1$; that is, we have $\{P(e) : e \in D(G)\}$, where $P(e) : \mathcal{H}_{o(e)} \to \mathcal{H}_{t(e)}$ and $\sum_{e:o(e)=u} P(e) = H^{(u)} \in \mathrm{SU}(\deg(u))$. Now we introduce an operator which is essential to the rest of this paper.

Definition 3. *We define a self-adjoint operator on $\ell^2(V)$ as follows:*

$$T^{(w,\theta)} = d_A d_B^*.$$

We call $T^{(w,\theta)}$ a discriminant operator of $U^{(w,\theta)}$.

Applying Eqs. (2.1) and (2.2), we have for $u, v \in \ell^2(V)$,

$$\langle \delta_v, T^{(w,\theta)}\delta_u\rangle = \sum_{f:\, o(f)=u, t(f)=v} w(f)\overline{w(\bar{f})}\, \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\theta(f)}. \tag{2.6}$$

When we specify $p(e) = |w(e)|^2$ $(e \in D(G))$ in the above random walk, we can relate this random walk to the discriminant operator $T^{(w,\theta)}$ through Proposition 2. Now we represent a spectral map from $\mathrm{spec}(T^{(w,\theta)})$ to $\mathrm{spec}(U^{(w,\theta)})$ as follows.

Proposition 1. *Assume that graph G is finite; that is, $|V(G)|, |E(G)| < \infty$. Then we have the following spectral map.*

(1) Eigenvalues: Denote by $m_{\pm 1}$ the multiplicities of the eigenvalues ± 1 of $T^{(w,\theta)}$, respectively. Let $\varphi_{QW} : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ such that $\varphi_{QW}(x) = (x + x^{-1})/2$. Then we have

$$\mathrm{spec}(U^{(w,\theta)}) = \varphi_{QW}^{-1}\left(\mathrm{spec}(T^{(w,\theta)})\right) \cup \{1\}^{M_1} \cup \{-1\}^{M_{-1}}, \tag{2.7}$$

where $M_1 = \max\{0, |E| - |V| + m_1\}$, $M_{-1} = \max\{0, |E| - |V| + m_{-1}\}$.

(2) Eigenspace: The eigenspace of eigenvalues $\varphi_{QW}^{-1}\left(\mathrm{spec}(T^{(w,\theta)})\right)$, $\mathcal{L}$, is

$$\mathcal{L} = d_A^*(\ell^2(V)) + d_B^*(\ell^2(V)).$$

The normalized eigenvector of the eigenvalue $e^{i\phi} \in \varphi_{QW}^{-1}\left(\mathrm{spec}(T^{(w,\theta)})\right)$ is given by

$$\begin{cases} d_A^*\nu_\phi & : \phi \in \{0, \pi\}, \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}|\sin\phi|}(I - e^{i\phi}S^{(\theta)})d_A^*\nu_\phi, & : \phi \notin \{0, \pi\}, \end{cases}$$

where $\nu_\phi = \nu_{-\phi} \in \ell^2(V)$ is the eigenfunction of $T^{(w,\theta)}$ of the eigenvalue $\cos\phi$ with $||\nu|| = 1$. The orthogonal complement space is given by

$$\mathcal{L}^\perp = \ker(d_A) \cap \ker(d_B).$$

The eigenspaces corresponding to eigenvalues $\{1\}^{M_1}$ *and* $\{-1\}^{M_{-1}}$ *are described by*

$$\ker(d_A) \cap \mathcal{H}_-^{(S)} \text{ and } \ker(d_A) \cap \mathcal{H}_+^{(S)}, \tag{2.8}$$

respectively. Here

$$\mathcal{H}_\pm^{(S)} = \text{span}\{\delta_f \pm \mathrm{e}^{i\theta(f)}\delta_{\bar{f}}; f \in D(G)\},$$

in other words $\mathcal{H}_\pm^{(S)}$ *are the eigenspaces of* $S^{(\theta)}$ *with eigenvalues* 1 *and* -1*, respectively.*

Proof. First, we show that the absolute value of each eigenvalue of $T^{(w,\theta)}$ is bounded by ± 1.

When $T^{(w,\theta)}g = \lambda g$ for $g \in \ell^2(V)$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ because $T^{(w,\theta)}$ is a self adjoint operator. On the other hand, from the definition of $T^{(w,\theta)}$ and Remark 1, we can express $T^{(w,\theta)}$ in the alternative form:

$$T^{(w,\theta)} = d_A \Pi_{\mathcal{A}} S^{(\theta)} \Pi_{\mathcal{A}} d_A^*. \tag{2.9}$$

Two boundary operators d_A and d_B are related by

$$d_B = d_A S^{(\theta)}, \;\; d_B^* = S^{(\theta)} d_A^*.$$

Equation (2.9) implies that

$$\begin{aligned} |\lambda|^2 ||g||^2 = ||T^{(w,\theta)}g||^2 = ||\Pi_{\mathcal{A}} S^{(\theta)} \Pi_{\mathcal{A}} \psi||^2 \\ \leq ||S^{(\theta)} \Pi_{\mathcal{A}} \psi||^2 = ||\Pi_{\mathcal{A}} \psi||^2 \leq ||\psi||^2 = ||g||^2, \end{aligned} \tag{2.10}$$

where $\psi = d_A^* g \in \ell^2(D)$. By Eq. (2.9), the second equation derives from $||T^{(w,\theta)}g||^2 = \langle d_A\psi, T^{(w,\theta)} d_A \psi\rangle = \langle \psi, d_A^* T^{(w,\theta)} d_A \psi\rangle = \langle \psi, \Pi_{\mathcal{A}} S^{(\theta)} \Pi_{\mathcal{A}} \psi\rangle$. Lemma 1 implies $||\psi||^2 = \langle d_A^* g, d_A^* g\rangle = \langle g, d_A d_A^* g\rangle = ||g||^2$. Thus all the eigengenvalues of $T^{(w,\theta)}$ live in $[-1, 1]$.

On the other hand, noting $C^{(w)} d_A^* = d_A^*$ and $C^{(w)} d_B^* = 2 d_A^* T^{(w,\theta)} - d_B^*$, we have

$$U^{(\theta,w)} d_A^* = d_B^*, \tag{2.11}$$

$$U^{(\theta,w)} d_B^* = 2 d_B^* T^{(w,\theta)} - d_A^*. \tag{2.12}$$

If $\nu_\phi \in \ell^2(V)$ is selected as the eigenfunction of $T^{(w,\theta)}$ of eigenvalue $\cos\phi$, we observe that $\text{span}\{d_A^*\nu_\phi, d_B^*\nu_\phi\} \subset \mathcal{L}$ is invariant under the action $U^{(w,\theta)}$ as follows:

$$U^{(\theta,w)} \rho_\phi = S^{(\theta)} \rho_\phi, \tag{2.13}$$

$$U^{(\theta,w)} S^{(\theta)} \rho_\phi = 2\lambda S^{(\theta)} \rho_\phi - \rho_\phi, \tag{2.14}$$

where $\rho_\phi = d_A^* \nu_\phi$. Define $\mathcal{L}_\lambda \equiv \text{span}\{\rho_\phi, S^{(\theta)}\rho_\phi\}$, where $\lambda = \cos\phi$. It holds that

$$\mathcal{L} = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \text{spec}(T^{(w,\theta)})} \mathcal{L}_\lambda. \tag{2.15}$$

Since

$$|\langle \rho_\phi, S^{(\theta)}\rho_\phi\rangle| = |\langle d_A^*\nu_\phi, S^{(\theta)} d_A^* \nu_\phi\rangle| = |\langle \nu_\phi, d_A S^{(\theta)} d_A^* \nu_\phi\rangle| = |\langle \nu_\phi, T^{(w,\theta)}\nu_\phi\rangle| = \cos\phi,$$

the value $\phi \in \mathbb{R}$ is called the geometric angle between ρ_ϕ and $S^{(\theta)}\rho_\phi$. Thus ρ_ϕ and $S^{(\theta)}\rho_\phi$ are linearly dependent if and only if $\phi \in \{n\pi; n \in \mathbb{N}\}$.

(1) $\phi \in \{n\pi; n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ case : In this case, since $\langle \rho_\phi, S^{(\theta)}\rho_\phi \rangle \in \{\pm 1\}$ holds, then we have $S^{(\theta)}\rho_0 = \rho_0$ and $S^{(\theta)}\rho_\pi = -\rho_\pi$. Thus

$$\mathcal{L}_1 \subset \mathcal{H}_+^{(S)} \text{ and } \mathcal{L}_{-1} \subset \mathcal{H}_-^{(S)}. \tag{2.16}$$

From Eq. (2.13),

$$U^{(w,\theta)}\rho_0 = \rho_0 \text{ and } U^{(w,\theta)}\rho_\pi = -\rho_\pi. \tag{2.17}$$

(2) $\phi \notin \{n\pi; n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ case : In the subspace $\mathcal{L}_{\cos\phi} = \text{span}\{\rho_\phi, S^{(\theta)}\rho_\phi\}$,

$$U^{(w,\theta)}|_{\mathcal{L}_{\cos\phi}} \cong \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 2\cos\phi \end{bmatrix},$$

where $\rho_\phi \cong {}^T[1, 0]$ and $S^{(\theta)}\rho_\phi \cong {}^T[0, 1]$. Then the eigenvalues and their normalized eigenvectors of eigenspace $\mathcal{L}_{\cos\phi}$ are given by

$$e^{\pm i\phi} \text{ and } \omega_{\pm\phi} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}|\sin\phi|}(I - e^{\pm i\phi}S^{(\theta)})\rho_{\pm\phi}, \tag{2.18}$$

respectively. Also noting that

$$S^{(\theta)}\omega_\phi = -e^{i\phi}\omega_{-\phi}, \;\; S^{(\theta)}\omega_{-\phi} = -e^{-i\phi}\omega_\phi,$$

we have

$$\omega_\phi + e^{i\phi}\omega_{-\phi} \in \mathcal{H}_-^{(S)}, \;\; \omega_\phi - e^{i\phi}\omega_{-\phi} \in \mathcal{H}_+^{(S)}.$$

Therefore

$$\dim(\mathcal{L}_{\cos\phi} \cap \mathcal{H}_+^{(S)}) = \dim(\mathcal{L}_{\cos\phi} \cap \mathcal{H}_-^{(S)}) = 1. \tag{2.19}$$

Combining Eqs. (2.16) and (2.19), we obtain

$$\dim(\mathcal{H}_+^{(S)} \cap \mathcal{L}) = |V| - m_{-1} \text{ and } \dim(\mathcal{H}_-^{(S)} \cap \mathcal{L}) = |V| - m_1. \tag{2.20}$$

We now consider the orthogonal complement space of $\mathcal{L}$. By direct computation, we have $\psi \in \mathcal{H}_-^{(S)}$ and $\phi \in \mathcal{H}_+^{(S)}$ if and only if

$$\psi(e) = -e^{-i\theta(e)}\psi(\bar{e}), \tag{2.21}$$

$$\phi(e) = e^{-i\theta(e)}\phi(\bar{e}), \tag{2.22}$$

respectively. For any $\boldsymbol{\psi} \in \mathcal{L}^\perp$, it holds that

$$\boldsymbol{\psi} \overset{C^{(w)}}{\mapsto} -\boldsymbol{\psi} \overset{S^{(\theta)}}{\mapsto} -S^{(\theta)}\boldsymbol{\psi},$$
$$S^{(\theta)}\boldsymbol{\psi} \overset{C^{(w)}}{\mapsto} -S^{(\theta)}\boldsymbol{\psi} \overset{S^{(\theta)}}{\mapsto} -\boldsymbol{\psi}$$

and therefore

$$U^{(w,\theta)}(\boldsymbol{\psi} - S^{(\theta)}\boldsymbol{\psi}) = (\boldsymbol{\psi} - S^{(\theta)}\boldsymbol{\psi}) \text{ and } U^{(w,\theta)}(\boldsymbol{\psi} + S^{(\theta)}\boldsymbol{\psi}) = -(\boldsymbol{\psi} + S^{(\theta)}\boldsymbol{\psi}). \tag{2.23}$$

Note that

$$S^{(\theta)}(\boldsymbol{\psi} - S^{(\theta)}\boldsymbol{\psi}) = -(\boldsymbol{\psi} - S^{(\theta)}\boldsymbol{\psi}) \in \mathcal{H}_{-}^{(S)},$$
$$S^{(\theta)}(\boldsymbol{\psi} + S^{(\theta)}\boldsymbol{\psi}) = \boldsymbol{\psi} + S^{(\theta)}\boldsymbol{\psi} \in \mathcal{H}_{+}^{(S)}.$$

Since the dimension of the whole space of the DTQW is $|D| = 2|E|$ and $\dim(\mathcal{H}_{\pm}^{(S)}) = |E|$, we have, by Eq. (2.20),

$$\dim(\mathcal{H}_{+}^{(S)} \cap \mathcal{L}^{\perp}) = |E| - (|V| - m_{-1}) \text{ and } \dim(\mathcal{H}_{-}^{(S)} \cap \mathcal{L}^{\perp}) = |E| - (|V| - m_{1}).$$

So we obtain for any $\boldsymbol{\psi} \in \mathcal{H}_{+}^{(S)} \cap \mathcal{L}^{\perp}$, $U^{(w,\theta)}\boldsymbol{\psi} = -\boldsymbol{\psi}$ with the multiplicity $|E| - |V| + m_{-1}$, and for any $\boldsymbol{\psi} \in \mathcal{H}_{-}^{(S)} \cap \mathcal{L}^{\perp}$, $U^{(w,\theta)}\boldsymbol{\psi} = \boldsymbol{\psi}$ with the multiplicity $|E| - |V| + m_{1}$.

On the other hand, we remark that if $\psi_{\pm} \in \mathcal{H}_{\pm}^{(S)} \cap \ker(d_A)$, then

$$d_B\psi_{\pm}(u) = d_A S^{(\theta)}\psi_{\pm}(u) = \pm d_A\psi_{\pm}(u) = 0, \quad (u \in V(G)).$$

Thus $\psi_{\pm}$ belongs also to $\ker(d_B)$. Moreover, $\mathcal{L} = \mathrm{span}\{\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}\}$ implies that

$$\mathcal{L}^{\perp} = \ker(d_A) \cap \ker(d_B).$$

Therefore $\mathcal{H}_{\pm}^{(S)} \cap \ker(d_A) \subseteq \ker(d_A) \cap \ker(d_B) \cap \mathcal{H}_{\pm}^{(S)} = \mathcal{L}^{\perp} \cap \mathcal{H}_{\pm}^{(S)}$. So putting $\mathcal{M}_{\pm}$ as the eigenspaces of eigenvalues ± 1, which are orthogonal to $\mathcal{L}$, we can conclude that

$$\mathcal{M}_{\pm} = \ker(d_A) \cap \mathcal{H}_{\mp}^{(S)}, \tag{2.24}$$

respectively. The proof is completed. □

Let G be a connected graph and $p : D(G) \to (0, 1]$ a transition probability; that is, $\sum_{e:o(e)=u} p(e) = 1$ for all $u \in V(G)$. If there exists a positive valued function $m : V(G) \to (0, \infty)$ such that

$$m(o(e))p(e) = m(t(e))p(\bar{e}),$$

for every $e \in D(G)$, then p is said to be *reversible*, and m is called a *reversible measure*.

Proposition 2. *A random walk on G with transition probability $p : D(G) \to [0, 1]$ given by $p(e) = |w(e)|^2$, has a reversible measure if and only if there exists a 1-form θ such that $1 \in \mathrm{spec}(T^{(w,\theta)})$.*

Proof. We first show that a 1-form θ exists such that $1 \in \mathrm{spec}(T^{(w,\theta)})$ if and only if

$$m(o(e))\widetilde{w}(e) = m(t(e))\widetilde{w}(\bar{e}), \tag{2.25}$$

where $\widetilde{w}(e) = w(e)e^{i\theta(e)/2}$. Equation (2.25) is an extended detailed balanced condition (eDBC). The multiplicities $m_{\pm 1}$ are positive if and only if both equalities in Eq. (2.10) hold. Note that the first equality in Eq. (2.10) holds if and only if

$$||\Pi_{\mathcal{A}} S^{(\theta)} \Pi_{\mathcal{A}}\psi||^2 = ||S^{(\theta)}\Pi_{\mathcal{A}}\psi||^2 \Leftrightarrow S^{(\theta)}\Pi_{\mathcal{A}}\psi \in \mathcal{A} \Leftrightarrow \Pi_{\mathcal{A}}\psi \in \mathcal{B}.$$

On the other hand, the second equality in Eq. (2.10) holds if and only if

$$||\Pi_{\mathcal{A}}\psi||^2 = ||\psi||^2 \Leftrightarrow \psi \in \mathcal{A}.$$

Combining these, we find that $|\lambda| = 1$ if and only if $\psi \in \mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{B}$. Since $\psi \in \mathcal{A}$, specifying an eigenfunction $m \in \ell^2(V)$ of $T^{(w,\theta)}$ for the eigenvalue $\lambda = 1$ or $\lambda = -1$, we can write $\psi = d_A^* m$. On the other hand, since $\psi \in \mathcal{B}$, there exists $h \in \ell^2(V)$ such that

$$d_B^* h = d_A^* m.$$

Letting d_A operate to both sides, we have $h = \pm m$ by Lemma 1 and Definition 3. Thus $\lambda = \pm 1$ if and only if

$$d_A^* m = \pm d_B^* m. \tag{2.26}$$

Inserting the definitions of d_A^* and d_B^* in Eq. (2.2) into Eq. (2.26), we find that $\lambda = 1$ if and only if

$$m(o(e))w(e) = m(t(e))w(\bar{e})e^{-i\theta(e)}, \tag{2.27}$$

for every $e \in D(G)$. Note that this condition is equivalent to the eDBC in Eq. (2.25).

We now show that there exists a 1-form θ such that the eDBC holds if and only if $\{|w(e)|^2 : e \in D(G)\}$ has the reversible measure. Taking its square modulus both sides of Eq. (2.25), we have the sufficiency. We prove the opposite direction; that is, if $\{|w(e)|^2 : e \in D(G)\}$ has the reversible measure, then there exists a 1-form θ such that the eDBC holds. Let us consider a spanning tree $\mathbb{T}(G)$ of G and define $C(G)$ as the set of all cycles in G. For $j \in \{1, \ldots, r\}$, we denote c_j as the cycle generated by adding edge $e_j \in E(G) \setminus E(\mathbb{T}(G))$ to the spanning tree $\mathbb{T}(G)$. Here

$$r = |E(G) \setminus E(\mathbb{T}(G))| = |E(G)| - (|V(G)| - 1).$$

The set of the fundamental cycles is denoted by

$$C^{(0)} \equiv \{c_1, c_2, \ldots, c_r\} \tag{2.28}$$

Thus a one-to-one correspondence between $C^{(0)}$ and $E(G) \setminus E(\mathbb{T}(G))$ holds. For any $c \in C(G)$, there exist positive integers $\{n_1, n_2, \ldots, n_r\}$ such that $c = \sum_{j=1}^r n_j c_j$. Thus the subset $C^{(0)}$ is a minimum generator of $C(G)$. We remark that the eDBC holds if and only if for any cycle $c = (e_1, \ldots, e_n) \in C^{(0)}$,

$$\begin{aligned} m(o(e_1)) &= \frac{\widetilde{w}(\bar{e}_1)}{\widetilde{w}(e_1)} m(o(e_2)) \\ &= \frac{\widetilde{w}(\bar{e}_1)\widetilde{w}(\bar{e}_2)}{\widetilde{w}(e_1)\widetilde{w}(e_2)} m(o(e_3)) = \cdots = \prod_{j=1}^{n} \frac{\widetilde{w}(\bar{e}_j)}{\widetilde{w}(e_j)} m(o(e_1)). \end{aligned} \tag{2.29}$$

Under the assumption that a random walk $\{|w(e)|^2; e \in D(G)\}$ is reversible, Eq. (2.29) is equivalent to

$$\int_c \arg(\widetilde{w}) \in 2\pi\mathbb{Z}, \tag{2.30}$$

where $\int_c \arg(\widetilde{f}) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left\{ \arg(\widetilde{f}(e_j)) - \arg(\widetilde{f}(\overline{e_j})) \right\}$. For a given w, the 1-form θ can be adjusted to satisfy Eq. (2.30) in the following way: for $c_j = (e_1^{(j)}, \ldots, e_{k_j}^{(j)}) \in C^{(0)}$,

$$\theta(e_i^{(j)}) = \begin{cases} \int_{c_j} \arg(w) & ; e_i^{(j)} = e_j, \\ 0 & ; \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \tag{2.31}$$

□

Similarly to the proof of Proposition 2, we can show that $\lambda = -1$ if and only if the *signed* eDBC holds:

$$m(o(e))\widetilde{w}(e) = -m(t(e))\widetilde{w}(\bar{e}).$$

We conclude the above discussions by considering-four situations:

(i) G is bipartite, $\{|w(e)|^2 : e \in D(G)\}$ is reversible and $\int_c \arg(\widetilde{w}) \in 2\pi\mathbb{Z}$ for any closed path c;

(ii) G is non-bipartite, $\{|w(e)|^2 : e \in D(G)\}$ is reversible and $\int_c \arg(\widetilde{w}) \in 2\pi\mathbb{Z}$ for any closed path c;

(iii) G is non-bipartite, $\{|w(e)|^2 : e \in D(G)\}$ is reversible and for any closed path c,

$$\int_c \arg(\widetilde{w}) \in \begin{cases} 2\pi\mathbb{Z} & ; c \text{ is even length closed path}, \\ 2\pi(\mathbb{Z} + 1/2) & ; c \text{ is odd length closed path}; \end{cases}$$

(iv) otherwise.

The above situations were also considered in Higuchi and Shirai [8], who discussed the spectrum of a twisted random walk on a para-line graph of G. Based on Ref. [8], we state the following lemma.

Lemma 2. *Let $m_{\pm 1}$ be the multiplicities of eigenvalues ± 1 of $T^{(w,\theta)}$, respectively. Then we have*

$$(m_1, m_{-1}) = \begin{cases} (1,1) & ; \textit{case (i)}, \\ (1,0) & ; \textit{case (ii)}, \\ (0,1) & ; \textit{case (iii)}, \\ (0,0) & ; \textit{case (iv)}. \end{cases} \tag{2.32}$$

2.3 Geometric expression of the subspace $\mathcal{L}^{\perp}$ in the Grover walk case

We investigate a special case of the twisted Szegedy walk with $\theta(e) = 0$, $w(e) = 1/\sqrt{\deg(o(e))}$, which reduces to the Grover walk. We denote the time evolution of the Grover walk by $U^{(w,\theta)} = U_{Grover}$. Let P be the probability transition matrix of the symmetric random walk on G. For any $u, v \in V(G)$, the symmetric means $(P)_{u,v} = \mathbf{1}_{\{(u,v)\in D(G)\}}/\deg(u)$. The reversible distribution of the symmetric random walk $\boldsymbol{\pi} : V(G) \to (0,1)$ is given by

$\boldsymbol{\pi}(u) = \deg(u)/\sum_{v\in V(G)}\deg(v)$. Since $T \equiv (T^{(w,\theta)})_{u,v} = \mathbf{1}_{\{(u,v)\in D(G)\}}/\sqrt{\deg(u)\deg(v)}$ in this setting, we can notice that

$$T = \mathfrak{D}^{-1}P\mathfrak{D}, \tag{2.33}$$

where $\mathfrak{D} = \mathrm{diag}[\sqrt{\boldsymbol{\pi}(u)}; u \in V(G)]$; thus $\mathrm{spec}(P) = \mathrm{spec}(T)$. Moreover if $\boldsymbol{\eta}$ is an eigenvector of P, then $\mathfrak{D}^{-1}\boldsymbol{\eta}$ is the eigenvector of T for the same eigenvalue.

Now let us characterize the eigenspace of the Grover walk corresponding to $\mathcal{L}^{\perp}$ in the above lemma by the cycles of G. First, we introduce some new notations. We denote the sets of all essential even and odd cycles by C_e and C_o, respectively. We also define C_{o-o} as the set of Euler closed path consisting of two distinct odd cycles and their so-called bridge, i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} C_{o-o} \equiv \{c = (c_1, p, c_2, p^{-1}) \in P(G) :\ & c_1, c_2 \in C_o, p \in \{P_0(G), \emptyset\}, \\ & o(c_1) = t(c_1) = o(p),\ o(c_2) = t(c_2) = t(p),\ V(c_1)\cap V(c_2) \subseteq \{\emptyset, o(c_1)\}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Definition 4. *For $p = (e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_n) \in P(G)$, let $\boldsymbol{\gamma}, \boldsymbol{\tau} : P(G) \to \ell^2(D(G))$ be*

$$\boldsymbol{\gamma}(p) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left(\delta_{e_j} - \delta_{\bar{e}_j}\right), \tag{2.34}$$

$$\boldsymbol{\tau}(p) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} (-1)^j \left(\delta_{e_j} + \delta_{\bar{e}_j}\right). \tag{2.35}$$

The following theorem relates the subspace $\mathcal{L}^{\perp}$ to a geometric structure of the graph.

Theorem 1. *Define the eigenspaces of U_{Grover} by*

$$\mathcal{M}_+ \equiv \mathcal{L}^{\perp} \cap \mathcal{H}_-^{(S)} \text{ and } \mathcal{M}_- \equiv \mathcal{L}^{\perp} \cap \mathcal{H}_+^{(S)}$$

with eigenvalues 1 and -1, respectively. Then we have

$$\mathcal{M}_+ = \sum_{c\in C(G)} \mathbb{C}\boldsymbol{\gamma}(c), \tag{2.36}$$

$$\mathcal{M}_- = \sum_{c\in C_e\cup C_{o-o}} \mathbb{C}\boldsymbol{\tau}(c). \tag{2.37}$$

Here $\dim(\mathcal{M}_+) = |E(G)| - |V(G)| + 1$, and $\dim(\mathcal{M}_-) = |E(G)| - |V(G)| + \mathbf{1}_{\{G \text{ is bipartite}\}}$. In particular, defining CP_e as the set of all even-length closed paths, we have

$$\sum_{c\in CP_e} \mathbb{C}\boldsymbol{\tau}(c) = \mathcal{M}_-, \quad \sum_{c\in CP_e} \mathbb{C}\boldsymbol{\gamma}(c) \subset \mathcal{M}_+.$$

Proof. From Eqs. (2.21) and (2.24), we observe that for any $c \in C(G)$, we have $\boldsymbol{\gamma}(c) \in \mathcal{M}_+$, that is, $U\boldsymbol{\gamma}(c) = \boldsymbol{\gamma}(c)$. Let $C^{(0)}$ be the set of the fundamental cycles defined by Eq. (2.28). For any $c \in C(G)$, there exist positive integers $\{n_1, n_2, \ldots, n_r\}$ such that $\boldsymbol{\gamma}(c) = \sum_{j=1}^{r} n_j\boldsymbol{\gamma}(c_j)$. Therefore, we arrive at $\mathcal{M}_+ = \mathrm{span}\{\boldsymbol{\gamma}(c); c \in C^{(0)}\} = \mathrm{span}\{\boldsymbol{\gamma}(c); c \in C(G)\}$.

Next, from Eqs. (2.22) and (2.24), for any $c \in C_e(G) \cup C_{o-o}$, we readily confirm $\boldsymbol{\tau}(c) \in \mathcal{M}_-$. So we have $U\boldsymbol{\tau}(c) = -\boldsymbol{\tau}(c)$. Note that $C^{(0)} \subseteq C_e(G)$ if and only if the graph is bipartite. In this case, $\mathcal{M}_- = \mathrm{span}\{\boldsymbol{\tau}(c) : c \in C^{(0)}\}$. Now we consider that $C^{(0)}$ also contains odd cycles. Let odd cycles be denoted by $c_1, \ldots, c_K$ and the even cycles by $c_{K+1}, \ldots, c_r$ $(K \le r)$. We remark that for any cycles $c_i, c_j \in C_o(G)$, there exists a simple path $p_{i,j} \in P_0(G)$ such that $(c_i, p_{i,j}, c_j, p_{i,j}^{-1}) \in C_{o-o}$. Then

$$\mathcal{M}_- = \mathrm{span}\{\{\boldsymbol{\tau}(c_1, p_{1,j}, c_j, p_{1,j}^{-1}) :\ 2 \le j \le K\} \cup \{\boldsymbol{\tau}(c_j) : K < j \le r\}\}. \tag{2.38}$$

Since all the $\boldsymbol{\tau}(c_1, p_{1,j}, c_j, p_{1,j}^{-1})$ $(2 \le j \le K)$ and $\boldsymbol{\tau}(c_j)$ $(K+1 \le j \le r)$ are linearly independent, we have

$$\dim(\mathcal{M}_-) = K - 1 + (r - K) = |E| - |V|.$$

We can easily check that $\boldsymbol{\tau}(p) \in \mathcal{M}_-$ and $\boldsymbol{\gamma}(p) \in \mathcal{M}_+$ for any $p \in CP_e$. Since $C_{o-o}, C_e \subset CP_e$, we obtain the conclusion. □

3 Grover walk on crystal lattice

3.1 Setting

We define a partition $\pi : G \to G^{(o)} = (V^{(o)}, D^{(o)})$ satisfying the following conditions:

(1) $V^{(o)} = \pi(V(G)) = \{V_1, V_2, \ldots, V_r\}$ with $V_i \cap V_j = \emptyset$ $(i \ne j)$.

(2) for $e \in D(G)$, $\pi(o(e)) = o(\pi(e))$ and $\pi(t(e)) = t(\pi(e))$.

(3) $D_u \xrightarrow{\pi} D_{\pi(u)}$: a bijection,
where $D_u = \{e \in D(G) : o(e) = u\}$.

Remark 2. *Under the assumption of (3),* $\deg(u) = \deg(\pi(u))$ *for all* $u \in V(G)$.

In particular, if there exists an abelian group $\Gamma \subset \mathrm{Aut}(G)$ such that

$$V^{(o)} = \{\Gamma\text{−orbit of } G\},$$
$$(\pi(u), \pi(v)) \in D^{(o)} \Leftrightarrow \text{ there exists } g \in \Gamma \text{ such that } (u, gv) \in D(G),$$

then G is called a crystal lattice. We denote a spanning tree by $\mathbb{T}^{(o)}$ of $G^{(o)} = \Gamma\backslash G$, and let $E(G^{(o)}) \setminus E(\mathbb{T}^{(o)}) = \{e_1, \ldots, e_r\}$. We assign a 1-form θ such that $\theta(e) = 0$ if and only if $e \in D(\mathbb{T}^{(o)})$. Prepare r vectors $\hat{\theta}_1, \ldots, \hat{\theta}_r \in \mathbb{R}^d$ $(d \le r)$, where $r = |E(G^{(o)}) \setminus E(\mathbb{T}^{(o)})|$. Later in the discussion, we will specify $\theta(e_j) = -\theta(\bar{e}_j) = \langle k, \hat{\theta}_j \rangle$ for $k \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $e_j \in E(G^{(o)} \setminus \mathbb{T}^{(o)})$.

Given a finite graph $G^{(o)}$, we construct an abelian covering graph G. We prepare r-unit vector $\hat{\theta}_1, \ldots, \hat{\theta}_r \in \mathbb{R}^d$ $(d \le r)$. with $\mathrm{rank}[\hat{\theta}_1, \ldots, \hat{\theta}_r] = d$. First, we copy the quotient graph $G^{(o)}$ to each lattice $L = \mathbb{Z}\hat{\theta}_1 + \cdots + \mathbb{Z}\hat{\theta}_r \subset \mathbb{R}^d$. Here the vertex u of $G^{(o)}$ at $\mathbf{x} \in L$ is labeled $(\mathbf{x}, u)$. Let $\mathbb{T}^{(o)}$ be a spanning tree of $G^{(o)}$. Secondly, we rewire the terminus of each arc $e_j \in D(G^{(o)} \setminus \mathbb{T}^{(o)})$ at $\mathbf{x} \in L$ to the terminus of its neighbor located in $\mathbf{x} + \hat{\theta}_j$; for instance

if $t(e_j) = (\mathbf{x}, v)$ in the first step, then, $t(e_j)$ becomes $(\mathbf{x} + \hat{\theta}_j, v)$ in the second step. This procedure is repeated for each lattice $\mathbf{x} \in L$ to obtain a covering graph G. In particular, when the transformation group Γ with $G^{(o)} = \Gamma\backslash G$ is the 1-homology group $H_1(G^{(o)}, \mathbb{Z})$, G is called the maximal abelian covering graph of $G^{(o)}$. In other words, when $\{\hat{\theta}_j\}_{j=1}^r$ are linearly independent, then G is the maximal abelian covering graph. The fundamental domain $F^{(o)}$ of the abelian covering graph G is denoted by

$$F^{(o)} = \{\text{the spanning tree } \mathbb{T}^{(o)} \text{ embedded on } \boldsymbol{o} \in L\} \cup \{\text{the rewired arcs from } D(G^{(o)} \diagdown \mathbb{T}^{(o)}) \text{ on } \boldsymbol{o} \in L\}. \quad (3.39)$$

We take $V^{(o)} = V(F^{(o)})$ and $D^{(o)} = V(F^{(o)})$. In other words, $\sigma_1 \neq \sigma_2 \in \mathrm{Aut}(G)$,

$$\sigma_1(V(F)) \cap \sigma_2(V(F)) = \emptyset, \ \ \sigma_1(D(F)) \cap \sigma_2(D(F)) = \emptyset$$

and $V(G) = \bigcup_{\sigma\in\mathrm{Aut}(G)} \sigma(V(F))$, $D(G) = \bigcup_{\sigma\in\mathrm{Aut}(G)} \sigma(D(F))$. By the above observation, given a crystal lattice $G = (V, D)$, we have

$$V(G) \cong L \times V^{(o)} \text{ and } D(G) \cong L \times D^{(o)},$$

respectively.

We remark that the coin operator C is reexpressed as $\bigoplus_{u\in V(G)} H_u$, where H_u is so called the Grover coin operator on $\mathcal{H}_u$ assigned at the vertex u:

$$(H_u)_{e,f} = \mathbf{1}_{\{o(e)=o(f)=u\}} \left(\frac{2}{\deg(u)} - \delta_{e,f} \right).$$

We assume that $(H_u)_{e,f} = (H_{\sigma(u)})_{\sigma(e),\sigma(f)} \equiv (H^{(o)}_{\pi(u)})_{e_o,f_o}$ for any $e \in \pi^{-1}(e_o)$, $f \in \pi^{-1}(f_o)$, and $\sigma \in \Gamma$. Let $\Psi_n : L \times V^{(o)} \to \ell^2(L \times D^{(o)})$ at time $n \in \mathbb{N}$ be denoted by $\Psi_n(\mathbf{x}, v) = \Pi_{\mathcal{H}_v} U^n \Psi_0$. Here $\Psi_0 \in \ell^2(L \times D^{(o)})$ is an initial state. From Eq. (2.5), we have

$$\Psi_n(\mathbf{x}, v) = \sum_{f\in D^{(o)}: t(f)=v} P_f \Psi_{n-1} \left(\mathbf{x} - \hat{\theta}(f), o(f) \right), \quad (3.40)$$

where

$$\hat{\theta}(f) = \begin{cases} 0 & : f \in E(\mathbb{T}^{(o)}), \\ \hat{\theta}_j & : f = e_j \in E(G^{(o)} \diagdown \mathbb{T}^{(o)}), \ \ (j \in \{1, \ldots, r\}). \end{cases}$$

We define the finding distribution $\mu_n : L \to [0, 1]$ by

$$\mu_n(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{u\in V^{(o)}} \nu_n(\mathbf{x}, u),$$

and we denote by X_n a random variable following $P(X_n = \mathbf{x}) = \mu_n(\mathbf{x})$. Our interest is devoted to the sequence of $\{\mu_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ in the rest of this paper.

3.2 Spectrum

Let the Fourier transform $\mathcal{F} : L^2(K^d \times D^{(o)}) \to \ell^2(L \times D^{(o)})$ be

$$(\mathcal{F}g)(\mathbf{x}, f) = \int_{0\,d}^{2\pi} g(\mathbf{k}, f) e^{-i\langle \mathbf{k}, \mathbf{x}\rangle} \frac{d\mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^d}.$$

Here $K = [0, 2\pi)$. The dual operator $\mathcal{F}^* : \ell^2(L \times D^{(o)}) \to L^2(K^d \times D^{(o)})$ is described by

$$(\mathcal{F}^*\phi)(\mathbf{k}, f) = \sum_{\mathbf{x}\in L} \phi(\mathbf{x}, f) e^{i\langle \mathbf{k}, \mathbf{x}\rangle}.$$

Taking $\mathcal{F}^*$ to both sides of Eq. (3.40), we have

$$\widetilde{\Psi}_n(\mathbf{k}, v) = \sum_{f : t(f) = v} e^{i\langle \mathbf{k}, \hat{\theta}(f)\rangle} P_f \widetilde{\Psi}_{n-1}(\mathbf{k}, o(f)). \tag{3.41}$$

Here $\widetilde{\Psi}_n(\mathbf{k}, v) = \mathcal{F}^*\Psi_n(\mathbf{x}, v)$. Define $\widetilde{U}^{(o)}_{\mathbf{k}}$ as the twisted Szegedy walk $U^{(w,\theta)}$ on the quotient graph $G^{(o)}$ with

$$w(e) = 1/\sqrt{\deg(o(e))}, \;\; \theta(e) = \langle \mathbf{k}, \hat{\theta}(e)\rangle \;\; (e \in D^{(o)}). \tag{3.42}$$

We also denote the discriminant operator of $\widetilde{U}^{(o)}_{\mathbf{k}}$ by $\widetilde{T}^{(o)}_{\mathbf{k}} \equiv T^{(w,\theta)}$ with the above w and θ.

The important statement of this section is that the Grover walk on G is reduced to the twisted Szegedy walk on the quotient graph $G^{(o)}$ in the Fourier space as follows:

$$\widetilde{\Psi}_n(\mathbf{k}, v) = \Pi_{\mathcal{H}_v} \left(\widetilde{U}^{(o)}_{\mathbf{k}}\right)^n \sum_{u \in V^{(o)}} \widetilde{\Psi}_0(\mathbf{k}, u). \tag{3.43}$$

In other words, if U_{Grover} is the time evolution of the Grover walk on the abelian covering graph of $G^{(o)}$, then we have for any $\widetilde{\Psi}_0 \in L^2(K^d \times D^{(o)})$,

$$\mathcal{F}[\{\widetilde{U}^{(o)}_{\mathbf{k}}\}^n \widetilde{\Psi}_0] = U^n_{Grover} \mathcal{F}[\widetilde{\Psi}_0]. \tag{3.44}$$

On the other hand, let $Y^{(u)}_n \in L \times V^{(o)}$ be a simple random walk at time n on G starting from $(0, u)$. Denote the characteristic function of $Y^{(u)}_n$, for $\mathbf{k} \in K^d$, by

$$\chi_n(\mathbf{k}; u, v) = \sum_{\mathbf{x}\in L} P\left(Y^{(u)}_n = (\mathbf{x}, v)\right) e^{i\langle \mathbf{k}, \mathbf{x}\rangle}.$$

Let $|V^{(o)}| \times |V^{(o)}|$ matrix $\left(\tilde{P}^{(o)}_{\mathbf{k}}\right)_{u,v}$ for $u, v \in V^{(o)}$ be $\left(\tilde{P}^{(o)}_{\mathbf{k}}\right)_{u,v} = \chi_1(\mathbf{k}; u, v)$. Then

$$\chi_n(\mathbf{k}; u, v) = \left(\left\{\tilde{P}^{(o)}_{\mathbf{k}}\right\}^n\right)_{u,v}.$$

$\tilde{T}^{(o)}_{\mathbf{k}}$ and $\tilde{P}^{(o)}_{\mathbf{k}}$ are related by

$$\tilde{T}^{(o)}_{\mathbf{k}} = \mathfrak{D}^{-1} \tilde{P}^{(o)}_{\mathbf{k}} \mathfrak{D}, \tag{3.45}$$

where $\mathfrak{D}$ is given by Eq. (2.33) inserting the square root of the stationary distribution of the simple random walk on $G^{(o)}$; that is, $\sqrt{\boldsymbol{\pi}(u)} = \sqrt{\deg(u)}$ for all $u \in V^{(o)}$.

Proposition 3. *Let $\tilde{P}_{\mathbf{k}}^{(o)}$ be defined by the above. When $\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{R}^d$ satisfies $\langle \mathbf{k}, \hat{\theta}(e) \rangle \notin \pi\mathbb{Z}$, for some $e \in D^{(o)}$, then we have*

$$\mathrm{spec}(\widetilde{U}_{\mathbf{k}}^{(o)}) = \varphi_{QW}^{-1}(\mathrm{spec}(\tilde{P}_{\mathbf{k}}^{(o)})) \cup \{1\}^{|E^{(o)}|-|V^{(o)}|} \cup \{-1\}^{|E^{(o)}|-|V^{(o)}|}.$$

Proof. From Eq. (3.45), we have $\mathrm{spec}(\tilde{T}_{\mathbf{k}}^{(o)}) = \mathrm{spec}(\tilde{P}_{\mathbf{k}}^{(o)})$, and the eigenfunctions ρ and ρ' with the same eigenvalue of $\tilde{T}_{\mathbf{k}}^{(o)}$ and $\tilde{P}_{\mathbf{k}}^{(o)}$, respectively, satisfy $\rho' = \mathfrak{D}\rho$. Therefore we can directly apply Lemma 1. On the other hand, the assumption $\langle \mathbf{k}, \hat{\theta}(e) \rangle \notin \pi\mathbb{Z}$, for some $e \in D^{(o)}$ implies case (iv). From Lemma 2, $m_{\pm 1} = 0$; that is, the multiplicities of ± 1 are $M_{\pm 1} = |E^{(o)}| - |V^{(o)}|$, which concludes the proof. □

Let the eigenspace of eigenvalues $\varphi_{QW}^{-1}(\mathrm{spec}(\widetilde{T}_{\mathbf{k}}^{(o)}))$ be $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathbf{k}}^{(o)} \subset L^2(K^d \times D^{(o)})$. We denote $\widetilde{S}_{\mathbf{k}}^{(o)} : L^2(K^d \times D^{(o)}) \to L^2(K^d \times D^{(o)})$ by $\widetilde{S}_{\mathbf{k}}^{(o)} f(\mathbf{k}, e) = e^{-i\langle \mathbf{k}, \hat{\theta}(e) \rangle} f(\mathbf{k}, \bar{e})$. Remark that $\widetilde{S}_{\mathbf{k}}^{(o)}$ has two eigenvalues ± 1. We denote the eigenspaces of eigenvalues ± 1 for $\widetilde{S}_{\mathbf{k}}^{(o)}$ by $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}_{\pm}^{(o)}$ and define $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\pm}^{(o)} = \widetilde{\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{k}}}^{(o)} \cap \widetilde{\mathcal{H}}_{\mp}^{(o)}$.

Definition 5. *For a given crystal lattice G, we define $\mathcal{C}_{\pm} \subset \ell^2(L \times D^{(o)})$ by*

$$\mathcal{C}_+ = \sum_{c \in C(G)} \mathbb{C}\gamma(c),$$
$$\mathcal{C}_- = \sum_{c \in CP_e(G)} \mathbb{C}\tau(c).$$

We remark that $\mathcal{M}_{\pm}$ expressed by Eqs. (2.36) and (2.37) are defined for a finite graph.

Proposition 4. *For a crystal graph G, it holds that*

$$\sum_{\mathbf{x} \in L} \mathbb{C}\mathcal{F}(e^{i\langle \mathbf{k}, \mathbf{x} \rangle} \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\pm}^{(o)}) = \mathcal{C}_{\pm}.$$

Proof. First, we prove that $\mathcal{C}_{\pm} \subset \sum_{\mathbf{x} \in L} \mathbb{C}\mathcal{F}(e^{i\langle \mathbf{k}, \mathbf{x} \rangle} \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\pm}^{(o)})$. We remark that a closed cycle in G, $c = (f_1, f_2, \ldots, f_\kappa)$, where $f_j = (x_j, e_j)$ ($x_j \in L$, $e_j \in D^{(o)}$), is represented by the closed path $(e_1, \ldots, e_\kappa)$ in $G^{(o)}$. Note that

$$\bar{f}_j = (x_j + \hat{\theta}(e_j), \bar{e}_j) \text{ and } f_{j+1} = (x_j + \hat{\theta}(e_j), e_{j+1}).$$

It holds that

$$(\mathcal{F}^*\boldsymbol{\gamma}(c))(\mathbf{k}, \bar{e}_j) = -e^{i\theta(e_j)} (\mathcal{F}^*\boldsymbol{\gamma}(c))(\mathbf{k}, e_j), \quad (1 \le j \le \kappa) \tag{3.46}$$
$$(\mathcal{F}^*\boldsymbol{\gamma}(c))(\mathbf{k}, e_{j+1}) = e^{i\theta(e_j)} (\mathcal{F}^*\boldsymbol{\gamma}(c))(\mathbf{k}, e_j). \quad (1 \le j < \kappa) \tag{3.47}$$

Here we define $\theta(e_j) = \langle \mathbf{k}, \hat{\theta}(e_j) \rangle$. (See Eq. (3.42).) From Eqs. (3.46) and (3.47), we have

$$(\mathcal{F}^*\boldsymbol{\gamma}(c))(\mathbf{k}, \bar{e}_\kappa) = -\exp\left[i \int_{(e_1, \ldots, e_\kappa)} \theta \right] (\mathcal{F}^*\boldsymbol{\gamma}(c))(\mathbf{k}, e_1).$$

Since c is a closed cycle of G, we have $\int_{(e_1,\dots,e_\kappa)}\theta = 0$, and therefore

$$\sum_{f:o(f)=o(e_j)} (\mathcal{F}^*\boldsymbol{\gamma}(c))(f) = 0, \quad (1 \le j \le \kappa). \tag{3.48}$$

Equation (3.46) implies that

$$\mathcal{F}^*\boldsymbol{\gamma}(c) \in \widetilde{\mathcal{H}}_-^{(o)}. \tag{3.49}$$

Combining Eq. (3.48) with Eq. (3.49), we have $\mathcal{F}^*\boldsymbol{\gamma}(c) \in \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_+^{(o)}$ from Eq. (2.24). Similarly, for any even-length closed path c in G, we have $\mathcal{F}^*\boldsymbol{\tau}(c) \in \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_-^{(o)}$. Thus we find that $\mathcal{C}_\pm \subset \sum_{\mathbf{x}\in L} \mathbb{C}\mathcal{F}(e^{i\langle \mathbf{k},\mathbf{x}\rangle}\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_\pm^{(o)})$.

We now prove that $\mathcal{C}_\pm \supset \sum_{\mathbf{x}\in L} \mathbb{C}\mathcal{F}(e^{i\langle \mathbf{k},\mathbf{x}\rangle}\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_\pm^{(o)})$. The set of cycles $C^{(o)} = \{c_1,\dots,c_r\}$ with $r = |E^{(o)}| - |V^{(o)}| + 1$ can be identified with $E(G^{(o)} \setminus \mathbb{T}^o)$. Here we assume that each $c_j = (e_1^{(j)},\dots,e_{n_j}^{(j)})$ $(j \in \{1,\dots,r\})$ satisfies

$$\theta(e_i^{(j)}) = \begin{cases} \theta_j & : i = 1, \\ 0 & : \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

For the pair of c_1 and c_j, a path $P = (f_1,\dots,f_l)$ satisfying $o(P) = o(c_1)$ and $t(P) = o(c_j)$ exists. Define new closed paths $\tilde{c_1}$ and $\tilde{c_j}$ by

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{c_1} &= (\bar{f}_\kappa, \bar{f}_{\kappa-1},\dots,\bar{f}_1, e_1^{(1)},\dots,e_{n_1}^{(1)}, f_1,\dots,f_{\kappa-1},f_\kappa), \\
\tilde{c_j} &= (f_{\kappa+1}, f_{\kappa+2},\dots,f_l, e_1^{(j)},\dots,e_{n_j}^{(j)}, \bar{f}_l, \bar{f}_{l-1},\dots,\bar{f}_{\kappa+1}), \quad (1 \le \kappa \le l)
\end{aligned}$$

respectively. Denote $\eta_j, \zeta_j \in \ell^2(D^{(o)})$ by

$$\eta_1(e) = \begin{cases}
(-1)^{\kappa-m} & ; e = \bar{f}_m,\ 1 \le m \le \kappa \\
(-1)^{\kappa} & ; e = e_1^{(1)} \\
(-1)^{\kappa+m-1}e^{i\theta_1} & ; e = e_m^{(1)},\ 2 \le m \le n_1\ , \\
(-1)^{\kappa+n_1-1+m}e^{i\theta_1} & ; e = f_m,\ 1 \le m \le \kappa \\
0 & ; \text{otherwise}
\end{cases}$$

$$\zeta_1(e) = \begin{cases}
1 & ; e = \bar{f}_m,\ 1 \le m \le \kappa \text{ or } e = e_1^{(1)} \\
e^{i\theta_1} & ; e = e_m^{(j)},\ 1 \le m \le n_1 \text{ or } e = f_s,\ 1 \le s \le \kappa \\
0 & ; \text{otherwise}
\end{cases}$$

For $2 \le j \le r$,

$$\eta_j(e) = \begin{cases}
(-1)^{m-\kappa-1} & ; e = f_m,\ \kappa+1 \le m \le l \\
(-1)^{l-\kappa} & ; e = e_1^{(j)} \\
(-1)^{l-\kappa+m-1}e^{i\theta_j} & ; e = e_m^{(j)},\ 2 \le m \le n_j \quad , \\
(-1)^{l-\kappa+n_j+(l-m)}e^{i\theta_j} & ; e = \bar{f}_m,\ \kappa+1 \le m \le l \\
0 & ; \text{otherwise}
\end{cases}$$

$$\zeta_j(e) = \begin{cases}
1 & ; e = f_m,\ \kappa+1 \le m \le l \text{ or } e = e_1^{(j)} \\
e^{i\theta_j} & ; e = e_m^{(j)},\ 1 \le m \le n_j \text{ or } e = \bar{f}_s,\ \kappa+1 \le s \le l \\
0 & ; \text{otherwise}
\end{cases}$$

We can notice that taking $Q_\pm \equiv I \pm S^{(\theta)}$, for $u \in V(\widetilde{c}_j)$,

$$\sum_{e:o(e)=u} Q_+\eta_j(e) = \begin{cases} 1-(-1)^{n_j}e^{i\theta_j} & ;\, u = o(\widetilde{c}_j) \\ 0 & ;\, \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \tag{3.50}$$

$$\sum_{e:o(e)=u} Q_-\zeta_j(e) = \begin{cases} 1-e^{i\theta_j} & ;\, u = o(\widetilde{c}_j) \\ 0 & ;\, \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \tag{3.51}$$

Moreover it holds that $Q_+\eta \in \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_+^{(o)}$ and $Q_-\eta \in \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_-^{(o)}$ since $S^{(\theta)}Q_\pm = \pm Q_\pm$. From these observations, we replace η_j and ζ_j with $\tilde{\eta}_j$ and $\tilde{\zeta}_j$ so that $\tilde{\eta}_j, \tilde{\zeta}_j \in \ker(d_A^*)$:

$$\tilde{\eta}_j = \left(1-(-1)^{n_1}e^{i\theta_1}\right) Q_+\eta_j - \left(1-(-1)^{n_j}e^{i\theta_j}\right) Q_+\eta_1, \tag{3.52}$$

$$\tilde{\zeta}_j = \left(1-e^{i\theta_1}\right) Q_-\zeta_j - \left(1-e^{i\theta_j}\right) Q_-\zeta_1. \tag{3.53}$$

Thus Eq. (2.24) implies $\tilde{\eta}_j \in \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_-^{(o)}$, $\tilde{\zeta}_j \in \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_+^{(o)}$, respectively. Since the functions $\{\tilde{\eta}_j\}_j^r$ and $\{\tilde{\zeta}_j\}_j^r$ are linearly independent and the situation is the case (iv) in Eq. (2) for almost all $\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{R}^d$, it holds that $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_-^{(o)} = \mathrm{span}\{\tilde{\eta}_j; j \in \{1,\dots,r\}\}$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_+^{(o)} = \mathrm{span}\{\tilde{\zeta}_j; j \in \{1,\dots,r\}\}$ a.e. We can confirm that for the pair of closed paths $\tilde{c}_1$ and $\tilde{c}_j$ in $G^{(o)}$, there exists a finite and even-length closed path p in G such that $\mathcal{F}\tilde{\zeta}_j = \gamma(p)$ and $\mathcal{F}\tilde{\eta}_j = \tau(p)$. From Theorem 1, we have $\sum_{\mathbf{x}\in L} \mathbb{C}\mathcal{F}(e^{i\langle \mathbf{k},\mathbf{x}\rangle}\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_\pm^{(o)}) \subset \mathcal{C}_\pm$. □

Let $P_G : V \times V \to [0,1]$ be the stochastic operator of a simple random walk on a crystal lattice G; that is,

$$P_G(u,v) = \mathbf{1}_{(u,v)\in D(G)}/\deg(u).$$

Let $U(G)$ be the time evolution of the Grover walk on crystal lattice G. As a consequence of Propositions 3 and 4, we have the following corollary.

Corollary 1. *For a crystal lattice G, we put*

$$N = \begin{cases} \infty & ;\, G \text{ has cycles,} \\ 0 & ;\, \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then we have

$$\mathrm{spec}(U(G)) = \varphi_{QW}^{-1}(P_G) \cup \{1\}^N \cup \{-1\}^N \tag{3.54}$$

3.3 Stochastic behaviors

Here we define two specific properties of quantum walks; localization and linear spreading:

Definition 6.

(1) We say that localization occurs if there exists $\mathbf{x} \in L$ such that

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty} \mu_n(\mathbf{x}) > 0.$$

(2) We say that linear spreading occurs if

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\sum_{\mathbf{x}\in L}||\mathbf{x}/n||^2\mu_n(\mathbf{x})\in(0,\infty)$$

We also define

$$\Phi^{(o)}=\{\phi:\mathbb{R}^d\to\mathbb{R}^d:\cos\phi(\mathbf{k})\in\mathrm{spec}(\tilde{P}^{(o)}_{\mathbf{k}})\}.$$

Let the eigenfunction of an eigenvalue $\cos\phi$ with $\phi\in\Phi^{(o)}$ be $\omega_\phi\in L^2(K^d\times V^{(o)})$ with $\sum_{u\in V^{(o)}}|\omega_\phi(\mathbf{k},u)|^2=1$. We put the set of the arccos's of the constant eigenvalues

$$\begin{aligned}\Lambda&=\{\phi\in\Phi^{(o)}:\partial\phi(\mathbf{k})/\partial k_1=\partial\phi(\mathbf{k})/\partial k_2=\cdots=\partial\phi(\mathbf{k})/\partial k_d=0,\ \text{for all}\ \mathbf{k}\in K^d\}\\&=\{\pm\alpha_1,\ldots,\pm\alpha_s\},\ (0\le s\le|V^{(o)}|).\end{aligned}$$

Here $s=0$ indicates $\Lambda=\emptyset$. Let $\mathcal{S}_\phi$ be the set of all critical points of $\phi\in\Phi^{(o)}\setminus\Lambda$ on K^d; that is, $\partial\phi|_{\mathcal{S}_\phi}=0$. To demonstrate the above properties, we adopt the stationary phase method. We impose the following natural assumption on $\mathcal{S}_\phi$:

Assumption 1. *For every $\phi\in\Phi^{(o)}\setminus\Lambda$,*

(a) both $\phi(\mathbf{k})$ and the eigenfunction $\boldsymbol{w}_\phi(\mathbf{k},e)$ of eigenvalue $e^{i\phi(\mathbf{k})}$ are analytic on a neighbor of $\mathcal{S}_\phi$;

(b) each critical point $p\in\mathcal{S}_\phi$ is non-degenerate; that is, its Hessian matrix is invertible at p. Here the Hessian matrix of ϕ at p is defined by

$$(\mathrm{Hess}_\phi(p))_{l,m}=\frac{\partial}{\partial k_l\partial k_m}\phi(\mathbf{k})\bigg|_{\mathbf{k}=p}.$$

The stationary phase satisfies the following lemma (see for example [15, 18]).

Lemma 3. *Let ψ and ϕ with $\mathrm{Re}(\varphi)\ge0$ be complex-valued analytic functions on a compact neighborhood $\mathcal{N}$ of the origin in $\mathbb{R}^d$. Suppose that ϕ has a single critical point that is non-degenerate at $\mathbf{k}_0$. Then for sufficiently large λ we have,*

$$\int_{\mathcal{N}}e^{\lambda\phi(\mathbf{k})}\psi(\mathbf{k})d\mathbf{k}\sim\left(\frac{2\pi}{\lambda}\right)^{d/2}\frac{\psi(\mathbf{k}_0)e^{i\lambda\phi(\mathbf{k}_0)}}{\sqrt{\mathrm{Hess}_\phi(\mathbf{k}_0)}}$$

Here the signature of the square root is decided by subtracting the number of the negative eigenvalues of $\mathrm{Hess}_\varphi(\mathbf{k})$ from the number of the positive eigenvalues. If ϕ has no critical points on the domain, then $\int_{\mathcal{N}}e^{\lambda\phi(\mathbf{k})}\psi(\mathbf{k})d\mathbf{k}=O(\lambda^{-N})$ for any $N>0$.

Typical examples of the crystal lattice are $\mathbb{Z}^d$, the triangular lattice and the hexagonal lattice. In the next section, we show that all three of these lattices satisfy assumptions (a) and (b). Investigating the general properties of $\Phi^{(o)}$ is outside the scope of this paper, but remains an interesting future's problem. In the discrete-time quantum walk on the triangular lattice proposed in [11], which differs from that presented here, by the *degenerate* critical points, the decay rate of the return probability p_n in terms of time n becomes slow down: $p_n\propto n^{-4/3}$ for large time step n, suggesting that the spreading rate is less than unity.

By using Lemma 3, we have the following theorem related to localization.

Theorem 2. *Under Assumption 1 (a) and (b), if the quotient graph $G^{(o)}$ satisfies $|E^{(o)}| - |V^{(o)}| \geq 1$ or the discriminant operator $\widetilde{T}_{\mathbf{k}}^{(o)}$ has a constant eigenvalue with respect to $\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{R}^d$, then an appropriate choice of the initial state ensures localization of the Grover walk on G.*

Proof. Suppose that the initial state of the Grover walk on the crystal lattice G is $\Psi_0 \in \ell^2(L \times D^{(o)})$ and that $\mathcal{F}^*\Psi_0 = \widetilde{\Psi}_0(\mathbf{k})$. Applying $\mathcal{F}$ on $\widetilde{\Psi}_n(\mathbf{k}, u)$, we get

$$\Psi_n(\mathbf{x}, u) = \int_{0\,d}^{2\pi} e^{-i\langle \mathbf{k}, \mathbf{x}\rangle} \widetilde{\Psi}_n(\mathbf{k}, u) \frac{d\mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^d}.$$

Define $\widetilde{\Psi}_n(\mathbf{k}) = \sum_{u \in V^{(o)}} \widetilde{\Psi}_n(\mathbf{k}, u)$. From Lemma 1 and Eq. (3.43), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\widetilde{\Psi}_n(\mathbf{k}) = \sum_{\phi \in \Phi^{(o)} \setminus \Lambda} e^{in\phi(\mathbf{k})} \Pi_{\omega_\phi} \widetilde{\Psi}_0(\mathbf{k}) \\ + \sum_{\alpha \in \Lambda} e^{in\alpha} \Pi_{\omega_\alpha} \widetilde{\Psi}_0(\mathbf{k}) + \left(\Pi_{\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_+^{(o)}} + (-1)^n \Pi_{\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_-^{(o)}} \right) \widetilde{\Psi}_0(\mathbf{k}). \quad (3.55)\end{aligned}$$

Define $\Psi_n(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{u \in V^{(o)}} \Psi_n(\mathbf{x}, u)$. Applying $\mathcal{F}$ on both sides of Eq. (3.55), we get

$$\begin{aligned}\Psi_n(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{\phi \in \Phi^{(o)} \setminus \Lambda} \int_{0\,d}^{2\pi} \exp\left\{ in(\phi(\mathbf{k}) - \langle \mathbf{k}, \mathbf{x}/n \rangle) \right\} \Pi_{\omega_\phi} \widetilde{\Psi}_0(\mathbf{k}) \frac{d\mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^d} \\ + \sum_{\alpha \in \Lambda} e^{in\alpha} \int_{0\,d}^{2\pi} e^{-i\langle \mathbf{k}, \mathbf{x}\rangle} \Pi_{\omega_\alpha} \widetilde{\Psi}_0(\mathbf{k}) \frac{d\mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^d} \\ + \int_{0\,d}^{2\pi} e^{-i\langle \mathbf{k}, \mathbf{x}\rangle} \left(\Pi_{\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_+^{(o)}} + (-1)^n \Pi_{\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_-^{(o)}} \right) \widetilde{\Psi}_0(\mathbf{k}) \frac{d\mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^d}. \quad (3.56)\end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 3, the first term vanishes in the limit of large n. Then for large time step n, we have

$$P(X_n^{(\Psi_0)} = \mathbf{x}) \sim \left|\left| \int_{0\,d}^{2\pi} e^{-i\langle \mathbf{k}, \mathbf{x}\rangle} \left\{ \sum_{\alpha \in \Lambda} e^{in\alpha} \Pi_{\omega_\alpha} + \Pi_{\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_+^{(o)}} + (-1)^n \Pi_{\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_-^{(o)}} \right\} \widetilde{\Psi}_0(\mathbf{k}) \frac{d\mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^d} \right|\right|^2. \quad (3.57)$$

Thus localization is assured by the appropriate initial state Ψ_0 satisfying $\widetilde{\Psi}_0(\mathbf{k}) \notin (\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_+^{(o)} + \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_-^{(o)} + \sum_{\alpha \in \Lambda} \mathbb{C}\omega_\alpha)^\perp$. In particular, $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_\pm^{(o)} \neq \emptyset$ if $|E^{(o)}| - |V^{(o)}| \geq 1$; thus Proposition 4 implies that if we choose the initial state $\Psi_0 \in \ell^2(L \times D^{(o)})$ so that $\Psi_0 \notin \mathrm{span}\{\mathcal{C}_+, \mathcal{C}_-\}^\perp$, then

$$\limsup_{n \to \infty} P(X_n^{(\Psi_0)} = j) > 0.$$

Thus we obtain the desired conclusion. □

Remark 3. *Figure 1 shows a crystal lattice $|E^{(o)}| - |V^{(o)}| = 0$ but localization occurs. This lattice can be also seen in Sect. 2.2 [8]. The details of DTQW on such a graph will be seen in the forth coming paper [7].*

Finally we consider the contribution of $\Phi^{(o)} \setminus \Lambda$ to the behavior of the Grover walk. For this purpose, we take the scaling to X_n; X_n/n.



Figure 1: An example of a graph in which $|E^{(o)}| - |V^{(o)}| = 0$ but $T_{\mathbf{k}}^{(o)}$ has a constant eigenvalue. G is the original graph and $G^{(o)}$ is its quotient graph.

Proposition 5. *Let the initial state be $\Psi_0 \in \mathcal{H}$ and its Fourier transform be $\widetilde{\Psi}_0$. Then under assumptions (a) and (b), we have*

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} E[e^{i\langle \boldsymbol{\xi}, X_n\rangle/n}] = c_0 + \int_{\mathbf{k}\in[0,2\pi)^d} \sum_{\phi\in\Phi_o} e^{i\langle \boldsymbol{\xi},\nabla\phi\rangle} ||\Pi_{\omega_\phi}\widetilde{\Psi}_0(\mathbf{k})||^2 \frac{d\mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^d}. \tag{3.58}$$

Here

$$c_0 = \int_{\mathbf{k}\in[0,2\pi)^d} \sum_{\alpha\in\Lambda} \left|\left|\Pi_{\omega_\alpha}\widetilde{\Psi}_0(\mathbf{k})\right|\right|^2 \frac{d\mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^d} + \left|\left|\left(\Pi_{\mathcal{C}_+} + \Pi_{\mathcal{C}_-}\right)\Psi_0\right|\right|^2.$$

Proof. From the definitions of the spatial Fourier transform and the characteristic function for X_n, we can write

$$E[e^{i\langle \boldsymbol{\xi}, X_n\rangle}] = \int_{\mathbf{k}\in[0,2\pi)^d} \langle \widetilde{\Psi}_n(\mathbf{k}), \widetilde{\Psi}_n(\mathbf{k}+\boldsymbol{\xi})\rangle \frac{d\mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^d}. \tag{3.59}$$

Replacing $\boldsymbol{\xi}$ with $\boldsymbol{\xi}/n$, we have the expressions $\phi \in \Psi_o$, $\phi(\mathbf{k}+\boldsymbol{\xi}/n) = \phi(\mathbf{k}) + \langle \boldsymbol{\xi}/n, \nabla\phi(\mathbf{k})\rangle + O(1/n^2)$, and the eigenfunctions $\omega_\phi(\mathbf{k}+\boldsymbol{\xi}/n, e) = \omega_\phi(\mathbf{k}, e) + O(1/n)$. Substituting these and Eq. (3.55) with $\widetilde{\Psi}_n(\cdot)$ in Eq. (3.59), we can find that all cross terms in the inner product of the integrand in Eq. (3.59) are $O(1/n)$ by Lemma 3. Thus if $n \to \infty$, only the diagonal terms retained as follows:

$$\sum_{\phi\in\Phi_o} e^{i\langle \boldsymbol{\xi},\nabla\phi\rangle} ||\Pi_{\omega_\phi}\widetilde{\Psi}_0(\mathbf{k})||^2 + ||\Pi_{\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_+^{(o)}}\widetilde{\Psi}_0(\mathbf{k})||^2 + ||\Pi_{\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_-^{(o)}}\widetilde{\Psi}_0(\mathbf{k})||^2 + \sum_{\alpha\in\Lambda} ||\Pi_{\omega_\alpha}\widetilde{\Psi}_0(\mathbf{k})||^2.$$

The integral of the second term $||\Pi_{\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_+^{(o)}}\widetilde{\Psi}_0(\mathbf{k})||^2$ is rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{0\,d}^{2\pi} ||\Pi_{\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_+^{(o)}}\widetilde{\Psi}_0(\mathbf{k})||^2 \frac{d\mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^d} &= \sum_{\mathbf{x}\in L} \left|\left| \int_{0\,d}^{2\pi} \Pi_{\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_+^{(o)}}\widetilde{\Psi}_0(\mathbf{k}) e^{-i\langle \mathbf{k},\mathbf{x}\rangle} \frac{d\mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^d} \right|\right|^2 \\
&= \sum_{\mathbf{x}\in L} \left|\left| \Pi_{\mathbf{x}}\Pi_{\mathcal{C}_+}\Psi_0 \right|\right|^2 \\
&= \left|\left| \Pi_{\mathcal{C}_+}\Psi_0 \right|\right|^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Here the second equality follows from Proposition 4. Similarly, the third term is integrated as

$$\int_{0\,d}^{2\pi} ||\Pi_{\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_-^{(o)}}\widetilde{\Psi}_0(\mathbf{k})||^2 \frac{d\mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^d} = \left|\left| \Pi_{\mathcal{C}_-}\Psi_0 \right|\right|^2.$$

Thus we complete the proof. □

Theorem 3. *Under assumptions (a) and (b), the Grover walk on the crystal lattice G exhibits linear spreading.*

Proof. The proof is directly follows from Proposition 5. □

4 Examples

In this section, we confirm that the Grover walks on the d-dimensional square lattice, triangular lattice and hexagonal lattice satisfy Assumption 1; that is, these walks exhibit both localization and linear spreading. Finally we compute explicit expressions for the proper limit distribution of the Grover walk on the d-dimensional square lattice, $\mathbb{Z}^d$.

Given a crystal lattice G with the quotient graph $G^{(o)}$, we assume that the lattice L is embedded in $\mathbb{R}^d$. The r-unit vector $\hat{\theta}_1, \ldots, \hat{\theta}_r \in \mathbb{R}^d$ with $r \geq d$ corresponds to 1-form $\theta(e_1), \ldots, \theta(e_r)$, $(e_j \in E^{(o)} \setminus E(\mathbb{T}^{(o)}))$; that is, $\theta(e_j) = \langle \hat{\theta}_j, \mathbf{k} \rangle$ for a fixed $\mathbf{k} = {}^T(k_1, \ldots, k_d) \in K^d$. Here $r = |E^{(o)}| - |E(\mathbb{T}^{(o)}))|$. From $\{\hat{\theta}_1, \ldots, \hat{\theta}_r\}$, we can choose d-linearly independent vectors $\{\hat{\theta}_{n_1}, \ldots, \hat{\theta}_{n_d}\}$. Define the $d \times d$ matrix J by $J = {}^T[\hat{\theta}_{n_1}, \ldots, \hat{\theta}_{n_d}]$. The linearly independency implies $\det(J) \neq 0$. Taking $\theta_j \equiv \theta(e_{n_j})$, remark that $\partial\phi/\partial k_1 = \partial\phi/\partial k_2 = \cdots = \partial\phi/\partial k_d = 0$ if and only if $\partial\phi/\partial\theta_1 = \partial\phi/\partial\theta_2 = \cdots = \partial\phi/\partial\theta_d = 0$. Moreover since $J\widetilde{\mathrm{H}}_\theta{}^T J = \mathrm{H}_k$, it holds that

$$\det(\mathrm{H}_k) = \det{}^2(\mathrm{J})\det(\widetilde{\mathrm{H}}_\theta).$$

Here

$$(\mathrm{H}_k)_{l,m} = \frac{\partial^2\phi}{\partial k_l \partial k_m} \text{ and } (\widetilde{\mathrm{H}}_\theta)_{l,m} = \frac{\partial^2\phi}{\partial\theta_l\partial\theta_m}.$$

So we use parameters $\theta_1, \ldots, \theta_d$ instead of $k_1, \ldots, k_d$ in the following three examples.



Figure 2: The quotient graphs of $\mathbb{Z}^d$, triangular lattice and hexagonal lattice

4.1 Square lattices

The d-dimensional square lattice is well-known as the maximal abelian covering graph of the d-bouquet; that is, one vertex with d self loops $\{e_1, \ldots, e_d\}$. The 1-form assigns $\theta(e_1) = \theta_1, \ldots, \theta(e_d) = \theta_d$.

The characteristic function of the simple random walk on $\mathbb{Z}^d$ starting from the origin is described by

$$\widetilde{P}_\theta^{(o)} = \left(\frac{\sum_{j=1}^d e^{i\theta_j} + e^{-i\theta_j}}{2d} \right)^n = \left(\frac{\sum_{j=1}^d \cos\theta_j}{d} \right)^n$$

Thus we have

$$\cos\phi = 1/d \cdot \sum_{j=1}^d \cos\theta_j \tag{4.60}$$

which implies

$$\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial\theta_j} = \frac{\sin\theta_j}{d\sin\phi}. \tag{4.61}$$

Then all candidates of the critical points, in which the numerators of RHS in Eq. (4.61) are zero for all j, are given by:

$$\{(n_1\pi, \ldots, n_d\pi) : n_j \in \{0, 1\}\}.$$

The case $(\theta_1, \ldots, \theta_d) \in \{(0, \ldots, 0), (\pi, \ldots, \pi)\}$ requires special attention because the denominators of RHS in Eq. (4.61) at these points are also zero. Taking $\theta_j = \theta_j(\epsilon)$ with $\lim_{\epsilon\to 0}\theta_j(\epsilon) = 0$, we have $\cos\theta \sim 1 - 1/2 \cdot \theta_j^2(\epsilon)$ and $\sin\phi \sim \sqrt{K_2(\epsilon)/d}$, where $K_2(\epsilon) = \sum_{j=1}^d \theta_j^2$. Inserting these approximations into Eq. (4.61), we obtain

$$\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial\theta_j} \sim \frac{1}{\sqrt{d}} \frac{\theta_j(\epsilon)}{\sqrt{K_2(\epsilon)}}. \tag{4.62}$$

Here $f(x) \sim g(x)$ indicates $\lim_{x\to 0} |f(x)/g(x)| = 1$. Putting $t_j = \lim_{\epsilon\to 0}\theta_j(\epsilon)/\sqrt{K_2(\epsilon)}$, Eq. (4.62) implies that

$$(t_1, \ldots, t_d) \in \partial B_d,$$

where $\partial B_d = \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d : ||\mathbf{x}||^2 = 1/d\}$. Obviously $(0, \ldots, 0)$ is outside of $\mathcal{S}_\phi$. In the same way, we obtain $(\pi, \ldots, \pi) \notin \mathcal{S}_\phi$. Thus we have $\mathcal{S}_\phi = \{(n_1\pi, \ldots, n_d\pi) : n_j \in \{0, 1\}\} \setminus \{(0, \ldots, 0), (\pi, \ldots, \pi)\}$.

The Hessian is given by Eq. (4.80). For $\mathbf{p} = (k_1, \ldots, k_d) \in \mathcal{S}_\phi$, $\cos\phi(\mathbf{p}) = 1 - 2m/d$, where m is the number of π in the sequence $(k_1, \ldots, k_d)$. Then it is easily checked that for all $\mathbf{p} \in \mathcal{S}_\phi$,

$$|\det(\mathrm{H}(\mathbf{p}))| = \left(\frac{1}{2\sqrt{m(d-m)}} \right)^d \neq 0.$$

4.2 Triangular lattice

Consider the quotient graph $G^{(o)}$; one vertex with three self loops $\{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$. The 1-form is $\theta(e_1) = \theta_1$, $\theta(e_2) = \theta_2$ and $\theta(e_3) = \theta_3$. The triangular lattice is the abelian covering graph of $G^{(o)}$ under the relation $\theta_1 + \theta_2 + \theta_3 = 0$. The transition matrix for the twisted walk on the quotient graph is described by

$$\widetilde{P}_\theta^{(o)} = \frac{1}{3}\left(\cos\theta_1 + \cos\theta_2 + \cos(\theta_1 + \theta_2)\right).$$

The critical points are obtained by computing

$$\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \theta_1} = \frac{\sin\theta_1 + \sin(\theta_1+\theta_2)}{3\sin\phi} = 0,$$
$$\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \theta_2} = \frac{\sin\theta_2 + \sin(\theta_1+\theta_2)}{3\sin\phi} = 0,$$

implying that

$$\mathcal{S}_\phi = \{(0,\pi),(\pi,0),(\pm 2\pi/3, \pm 2\pi/3),(\pi,\pi)\}.$$

We remark that numerators and denominators of $\partial\phi/\partial\theta_1$ and $\partial\phi/\partial\theta_2$ at the origin $(\theta_1,\theta_2) = (0,0)$ are both zero. We now show that $(\theta_1,\theta_2) = (0,0)$ is outside of $\mathcal{S}_\phi$. To this end, we take the limit close to the origin using a single parameter $\epsilon \in \mathbb{R}$, and set $\theta_1 = \theta_1(\epsilon)$ and $\theta_2 = \theta_2(\epsilon)$ with $\lim_{\epsilon\to 0}\theta_j(\epsilon) = 0$. When ϵ is small, we can adopt the asymptotic forms of $\cos\theta_j \sim 1 - \theta_j^2/2$ and $\sin\theta_j \sim \theta_j$ to obtain

$$\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \theta_1} \sim \frac{\alpha(\epsilon)+2}{\sqrt{6(\alpha^2(\epsilon)+\alpha(\epsilon)+1)}}, \tag{4.63}$$
$$\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \theta_2} \sim \frac{2\alpha(\epsilon)+1}{\sqrt{6(\alpha^2(\epsilon)+\alpha(\epsilon)+1)}}. \tag{4.64}$$

Here $\alpha(\epsilon) = \theta_2(\epsilon)/\theta_1(\epsilon)$. We put

$$x = \frac{t+2}{\sqrt{6(t^2+t+1)}},\ y = \frac{2t+1}{\sqrt{6(t^2+t+1)}}.$$

Rotating x and y by one-quarter turn, we get

$$x' = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(x+y),\ y' = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(-x+y).$$

Then we obtain the equation of an ellipse:

$$(x')^2 + \frac{(y')^2}{\left(\frac{1}{3}\right)} = 1.$$

It holds that

$$(0,0) \notin \left\{ \left(\frac{t+2}{\sqrt{6(t^2+t+1)}},\ \frac{2t+1}{\sqrt{6(t^2+t+1)}} \right) : t \in \mathbb{R} \right\}.$$

Therefore $(0,0)$ is outside of $\mathcal{S}_\phi$.

The Hessian matrix is

$$\mathrm{H}_\theta|_{\mathcal{S}_\phi} = \frac{1}{\sin\phi}\begin{bmatrix} \cos\theta_1 + \cos(\theta_1+\theta_2) & \cos(\theta_1+\theta_2) \\ \cos(\theta_1+\theta_2) & \cos(\theta_1+\theta_2)+\cos\theta_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

It is easily checked that for all $p \in \mathcal{S}_\phi$, $\det(\mathrm{H}_\theta(p)) \neq 0$.

4.3 Hexagonal lattice

The hexagonal lattice is the maximal abelian covering graph of $G^{(o)} = (V^{(o)}, D^{(o)})$ with

$$V^{(o)} = \{u, v\}, \quad D^{(o)} = \{e_0, e_1, e_2, \bar{e}_0, \bar{e}_1, \bar{e}_2\},$$

where $u = o(e_0) = o(e_1) = o(e_2)$ and $v = t(e_0) = t(e_1) = t(e_2)$. The 1-form assigns $\theta(e_0) = 0$, $\theta(e_1) = \theta_1$ and $\theta(e_2) = \theta_2$. The transition matrix of the twisted walk on the quotient graph is described by

$$\widetilde{P}_k^{(o)} = \frac{1}{3}\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 + e^{-i\theta_1} + e^{-i\theta_2} \\ 1 + e^{i\theta_1} + e^{i\theta_2} & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Its eigenvalues are $\{\pm|1 + e^{i\theta_1} + e^{i\theta_2}|/3\}$. Taking $\cos\phi = |1 + e^{i\theta_1} + e^{i\theta_2}|/3$, we have

$$\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial\theta_1} = \frac{2}{9}\frac{\sin\theta_1 + \sin(\theta_1 - \theta_2)}{\sin 2\phi}, \tag{4.65}$$

$$\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial\theta_2} = \frac{2}{9}\frac{\sin\theta_2 + \sin(\theta_2 - \theta_1)}{\sin 2\phi}. \tag{4.66}$$

The candidates of the critical points of ϕ are

$$\{(0,0), (\pm 2\pi/3, \mp 2\pi/3), (\pm\pi, \mp\pi), (0, \pm\pi), (\pm\pi, 0)\}$$

The above are solution for which both numerators of RHSs in Eqs. (4.65) and (4.66) are equal to zero. We now show that the first two candidates $(0,0)$ and $(\pm 2\pi/3, \mp 2\pi/3)$ are excluded as the critical points. We take also $\theta_j = \theta_j(\epsilon)$ with $\lim_{\epsilon\to 0}\theta_j(\epsilon) = 0$ and $\alpha(\epsilon) = \theta_2(\epsilon)/\theta_1(\epsilon)$.

(1) $(0,0)$ case : We can evaluate $\sin\theta_j \sim \theta_j(\epsilon)$ and $\sin(\theta_1 - \theta_2) \sim \theta_1(\epsilon) - \theta_2(\epsilon)$, moreover

$$\cos 2\phi = 2\cos^2\phi - 1 = -\frac{1}{3} + \frac{4}{9}\left(\cos\theta_1 + \cos\theta_2 + \cos(\theta_1 - \theta_2)\right) \tag{4.67}$$

$$\sim 1 - \frac{4}{9}\left(\theta_1^2(\epsilon) + \theta_2^2(\epsilon) - \theta_1(\epsilon)\theta_2(\epsilon)\right). \tag{4.68}$$

$$\sin^2 2\phi \sim \frac{8}{9}\left(\theta_1^2(\epsilon) + \theta_2^2(\epsilon) - \theta_1(\epsilon)\theta_2(\epsilon)\right). \tag{4.69}$$

Inserting these estimations into Eqs. (4.65) and (4.66), we get

$$\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial\theta_1} = \frac{2 - \alpha(\epsilon)}{3\sqrt{2}\sqrt{\alpha^2(\epsilon) - \alpha(\epsilon) + 1}}, \tag{4.70}$$

$$\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial\theta_2} = \frac{-1 + 2\alpha(\epsilon)}{3\sqrt{2}\sqrt{\alpha^2(\epsilon) - \alpha(\epsilon) + 1}}. \tag{4.71}$$

We put

$$x = \frac{2 - t}{3\sqrt{2}\sqrt{t^2 - t + 1}}, \; y = \frac{-1 + 2t}{3\sqrt{2}\sqrt{t^2 - t + 1}},$$

Rotating (x, y) by one-quarter turn gives

$$x' = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(x + y), \; y' = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(-x + y).$$

Then we have the following equation of ellipse:

$$\frac{(x')^2}{\left(\frac{1}{9}\right)} + \frac{(y')^2}{\left(\frac{1}{3}\right)} = 1.$$

It holds that

$$(0,0) \notin \left\{ \left(\frac{2-t}{3\sqrt{2}\sqrt{t^2-t+1}},\ \frac{-1+2t}{3\sqrt{2}\sqrt{t^2-t+1}} \right) : t \in \mathbb{R} \right\}.$$

Therefore $(0,0)$ is outside of $\mathcal{S}_\phi$.

(2) $(\pm 2\pi/3, \mp 2\pi/3)$ case : We consider $(2\pi/3, -2\pi/3)$ case. Set $\theta_1 = 2\pi/3 + \eta_1(\epsilon)$, $\theta_2 = -2\pi/3 + \eta_2(\epsilon)$ with $\eta_j(\epsilon) \to 0$. We estimate

$$\sin\theta_j \sim \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} - \frac{1}{2}\eta_j(\epsilon)$$
$$|\sin 2\phi| \sim \frac{2}{3}\sqrt{\eta_1^2(\epsilon) + \eta_2^2(\epsilon) - \eta_1(\epsilon)\eta_2(\epsilon)}$$

Inserting these estimations into Eqs. (4.65) and (4.66),

$$\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial\theta_1} = \frac{2-\beta(\epsilon)}{6\sqrt{\beta^2(\epsilon) - \beta(\epsilon) + 1}}, \tag{4.72}$$

$$\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial\theta_2} = \frac{2\beta(\epsilon) - 1}{6\sqrt{\alpha^2(\epsilon) - \alpha(\epsilon) + 1}}. \tag{4.73}$$

We put

$$x = \frac{2-t}{6\sqrt{t^2-t+1}},\ y = \frac{-1+2t}{6\sqrt{t^2-t+1}},$$

and rotate it a quarter turn

$$x' = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(x+y),\ y' = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(-x+y).$$

Then we have the following equation of ellipse:

$$\frac{(x')^2}{\left(\frac{1}{18}\right)} + \frac{(y')^2}{\left(\frac{1}{6}\right)} = 1.$$

It holds that

$$(0,0) \notin \left\{ \left(\frac{2-t}{3\sqrt{2}\sqrt{t^2-t+1}},\ \frac{-1+2t}{3\sqrt{2}\sqrt{t^2-t+1}} \right) : t \in \mathbb{R} \right\}.$$

Therefore $(0,0)$ is outside of $\mathcal{S}_\phi$.

The Hessian matrix is

$$\mathrm{H}_\theta|_{\mathcal{S}_\phi} = \frac{2}{9\sin 2\phi} \begin{bmatrix} \cos\theta_1 + \cos(\theta_1 - \theta_2) & -\cos(\theta_1 - \theta_2) \\ -\cos(\theta_1 - \theta_2) & \cos(\theta_1 - \theta_2) + \cos\theta_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

It is easily checked that for all $p \in \mathcal{S}_\phi$, $\det(\mathrm{H}_\theta(p)) \neq 0$.

4.4 Limit measures of the Grover walk on $\mathbb{Z}^d$ lattice

In this section, we discuss more explicit expression for the limit behaviors which is proper to the Grover walk on $\mathbb{Z}^d$. To do so, we introduce two limit measures for localization and linear spreading of the Grover walk on $\mathbb{Z}^d$.

Definition 7. *[12]*

(1) We define the time averaged limit measure $\overline{\mu}_\infty : \mathbb{Z}^d \to [0,1]$ *as*

$$\overline{\mu}_\infty(\mathbf{x}) = \lim_{T\to\infty} \frac{1}{T} \sum_{n=0}^{T-1} \mu_n(\mathbf{x}).$$

(2) We define the weak limit measure $d\mu_w^{(d)} : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^d$ *as*

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} P(X_n/n < \mathbf{y}) = \int_{\{\mathbf{x}\in\mathbb{R}^d : \mathbf{x}<\mathbf{y}\}} d\mu_w^{(d)}(\mathbf{x}).$$

Here for $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \ldots, x_d)$, $\mathbf{y} = (y_1, \ldots, y_d) \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $\mathbf{x} < \mathbf{y}$ *denotes* $x_1 < y_1, \ \ldots, x_d < y_d$. *We call* $d\mu_w^{(d)}$ *a weak limit measure.*

We remark that $\overline{\mu}_\infty(\mathbf{x}) > 0$ implies localization and $d\mu_w^{(d)} \neq \delta_{\mathbf{o}}$ implies linear spreading.

4.4.1 Time averaged limit measure

We express for $\hat{\theta}_j \in \mathbb{R}^d$ by

$$\hat{\theta}_1 = {}^T[1, 0, \ldots, 0], \ \hat{\theta}_2 = {}^T[0, 1, \ldots, 0], \ldots, \hat{\theta}_d = {}^T[0, 0, \ldots, 1].$$

Since $|V^{(o)}| = |\{u\}| = 1$, we denote $\Psi_n(\mathbf{x}, u) = \Psi_n(\mathbf{x})$ for $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ and $\widehat{\Psi}_n(\mathbf{k}, u) = \widehat{\Psi}_n(\mathbf{k})$ for $\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{R}^d$. Let G_d be the d-dimensional Grover matrix $2/d \cdot J_d - I_d$, where J_d is the d-dimensional all 1 matrix. Equation (3.43) implies

$$\widetilde{\Psi}_n(\mathbf{k}) = \widetilde{U}^{(o)}(\mathbf{k})^n \widetilde{\Psi}_0(\mathbf{k}).$$

Here $\widetilde{U}^{(o)}(\mathbf{k}) = \widetilde{S}^{(o)}(\mathbf{k}) G_d$, where for $\mathbf{k} = (k_1, k_2, \ldots, k_d)$, $l \in \{\pm 1, \pm 2, \ldots, \pm d\}$, $\widetilde{S}^{(o)}(\mathbf{k})\delta_{e_l} = e^{ik_l}\delta_{\bar{e}_l}$.

As seen in the previous subsection, $\mathbb{Z}^d$ satisfies 1. By Theorem 2, we have the following corollary. This corollary geometrically expresses the eigenspaces $\mathcal{C}_\pm$ that are proper to $\mathbb{Z}^d$.

Corollary 2. *Let* $\boldsymbol{\gamma}$ *and* $\boldsymbol{\tau}$ *be defined in Eqs (2.34) and (2.35), respectively. For* $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ *and* $e, f \in D^{(o)}$, *define a closed path* $c_{\mathbf{x};e,f}$ *on* $\mathbb{Z}^d \times D^{(o)}$ *by*

$$\Big((\mathbf{x}, e), (\mathbf{x} + \hat{\theta}(e), f), (\mathbf{x} + \hat{\theta}(e) + \hat{\theta}(f), \bar{e}), (\mathbf{x} + \hat{\theta}(f), \bar{f})\Big).$$

Then we have

$$\mathcal{C}_+ = \sum_{\mathbf{x}\in\mathbb{Z}^d,\ e,f\in D^{(o)}} \mathbb{C}\boldsymbol{\gamma}(c_{\mathbf{x};e,f}), \tag{4.74}$$

$$\mathcal{C}_- = \sum_{\mathbf{x}\in\mathbb{Z}^d,\ e,f\in D^{(o)}} \mathbb{C}\boldsymbol{\tau}(c_{\mathbf{x};e,f}). \tag{4.75}$$

Moreover the time averaged limit measure, given an initial state $\Psi_0 \in \mathcal{H}$, is expressed by

$$\overline{\mu}_\infty(j) = \sum_{e \in D^{(o)}} \left| \left(\left(\Pi_{\mathcal{C}_+} + \Pi_{\mathcal{C}_-} \right) \Psi_0 \right)(j,e) \right|^2 . \tag{4.76}$$

4.4.2 Weak limit measure

In this subsection, we simplify the problem by adopting a mixed state as the initial state; that is,

$$P[\Psi_0 = \boldsymbol{\delta}_{\boldsymbol{e}}] = \frac{\mathbf{1}_{\{o(e)=0\}}(e)}{2d}.$$

We now consider the weak limit theorems by taking the expectation with respect to the initial state. In the mixed state, Proposition 5 reduces to the following corollary.

Corollary 3. *For a mixed initial state, we have*

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} E[e^{i\langle \boldsymbol{\xi}, X_n\rangle/n}] = \left(1 - \frac{1}{d}\right) + \frac{1}{d} \int_{\mathbf{k}\in[0,2\pi)^d} \cos[\langle \boldsymbol{\xi}, \nabla\phi\rangle] \frac{d\mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^d}. \tag{4.77}$$

Proof. The first term of RHS in Eq. (3.58) is rewritten as

$$||(\Pi_{\mathcal{C}_+} + \Pi_{\mathcal{C}_-})\Psi_0||^2 = \int_{0\ d}^{2\pi} ||(\Pi_{\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_+^{(o)}} + \Pi_{\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_-^{(o)}})\widetilde{\Psi}_0(\mathbf{k})||^2 \frac{d\mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^d}. \tag{4.78}$$

Since $Prob(\text{“}\widetilde{\Psi}_0 = \delta_{e_0}\text{”}) = 1/(2d)$, the integrand of RHS in Eq. (4.78) reduces to

$$\begin{aligned} ||(\Pi_{\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_+^{(o)}} + \Pi_{\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_-^{(o)}})\widetilde{\Psi}_0(\mathbf{k})||^2 &= \frac{1}{2d}\mathrm{Tr}\left[\Pi_{\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_+^{(o)}} + \Pi_{\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_-^{(o)}}\right] \\ &= \frac{1}{2d}\left(\dim(\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_+^{(o)}) + \dim(\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_-^{(o)})\right) \\ &= \frac{d-1}{d} \qquad (a.s.) \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, since

$$||\Pi_{w_\phi}\widetilde{\Psi}_0(\mathbf{k})||^2 = \frac{1}{2d}\mathrm{Tr}[\Pi_{w_\phi}] = \frac{1}{2d}, \tag{4.79}$$

the integrand of the second term of RHS in Eq. (3.58) becomes

$$\sum_\phi e^{i\langle \boldsymbol{\xi}, \nabla\phi\rangle} ||\Pi_{w_\phi}\widetilde{\Psi}_0(\mathbf{k})||^2 = \frac{1}{2d}\left(e^{i\langle \boldsymbol{\xi}, \nabla\phi(\mathbf{k})\rangle} + e^{-i\langle \boldsymbol{\xi}, \nabla\phi(\mathbf{k})\rangle}\right).$$

Thereby, we complete the proof. □

For $\phi \in \Phi^{(o)} \setminus \Lambda$ with $\cos\phi(\mathbf{k}) = (\sum_{j=1}^d \cos k_j)/d$ and $\sin\phi(\mathbf{k}) > 0$, we define the Hessian matrix $\mathrm{Hess}_\phi = (\mathrm{H}_d)_{l,m}$ $(l, m \in 1, \ldots, d)$.

Lemma 4. *Taking $x_j = \partial\phi(\mathbf{k})/\partial k_j$ with $\cos\phi(\mathbf{k}) = (\sum_{j=1}^d \cos k_j)/d$ and $\sin\phi(\mathbf{k}) \geq 0$, we have*

$$\det(\mathrm{H}_d) = \prod_{l=1}^d \left(\frac{\cos k_l}{d\sin\phi(\mathbf{k})}\right)\left(1 - d\cos\phi(\mathbf{k})\sum_{j=1}^d \frac{x_j^2}{\cos k_j}\right). \tag{4.80}$$

Proof. Since $\cos\phi(\mathbf{k}) = 1/d \cdot \sum_{j=1}^{d} \cos k_j$, we have

$$\frac{\partial\phi(\mathbf{k})}{\partial k_m} = \frac{1}{d}\frac{\sin k_m}{\sin\phi(\mathbf{k})}. \tag{4.81}$$

Moreover remarking

$$x_m = \frac{\partial}{\partial k_m}\phi(\mathbf{k}) = \frac{1}{d}\frac{\sin k_m}{\sin\phi(\mathbf{k})},$$

we obtain

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial k_l}\frac{\partial}{\partial k_m}\phi(\mathbf{k}) = -\frac{1}{\tan\phi(\mathbf{k})}\left(x_l x_m - \delta_{l,m}\frac{\cos k_l}{d\cos\phi(\mathbf{k})}\right).$$

Thus H_d is expressed as follows:

$$\mathrm{H}_d = -\frac{1}{\tan\phi(\mathbf{k})}(P - D),$$

where $(P)_{l,m} = x_l x_m$, and $D = \mathrm{diag}[\cos k_j/(d\cos\phi(\mathbf{k})); 1 \le j \le d]$. The determinant of H_d is computed as

$$\begin{aligned}\det(\mathrm{H}_d) &= \left(-\frac{1}{\tan\phi(\mathbf{k})}\right)^d \det(P - D) \\ &= \left(-\frac{1}{\tan\phi(\mathbf{k})}\right)^d \det(D)\det(I - D^{-1}P). \end{aligned}\tag{4.82}$$

We remark that

$$\det(D) = \prod_{j=1}^{d}\frac{\cos k_j}{d\cos\phi(\mathbf{k})} \tag{4.83}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\det(I - D^{-1}P) &= 1 - \mathrm{Tr}[D^{-1}P] \\ &= 1 - \sum_{j=1}^{d}\frac{d\cos\phi(\mathbf{k})}{\cos k_j}x_j^2 \end{aligned}\tag{4.84}$$

Here we used the fact that $\det(I_n - AB) = \det(I_m - BA)$, where A and B are $n \times m$ and $m \times n$ matrices, respectively. Inserting Eqs. (4.83) and (4.84) into Eq. (4.82) completes the proof. □

We define the density function of the weak convergence of QW with respect to RHS of the second term in Eq. (3.58) by $\rho_d(\mathbf{x})$. From Eq. (4.77), the weak limit measure is

$$d\mu_w^{(d)}(\mathbf{x}) = (1 - 1/d)\delta_{\mathbf{0}}(\mathbf{x}) + \rho_d(\mathbf{x}),$$

where $\int_{-\infty\,d}^{\infty} \rho_d(\mathbf{x})d\mathbf{x} = 1/d$. Replacing $\partial\phi(\mathbf{k})/\partial k_j$ with x_j in the integral of RHS in Eq. (3.58), we can find the shape of the continuous part of the limit density function ρ_d from the Hessian matrix H_d; that is, for $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \ldots, x_d)$,

$$\rho_d(\mathbf{x}) \propto \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d|\det(\mathrm{H}_d)|}. \tag{4.85}$$

Theorem 4. *When $d = 2$,*

$$\rho_2(x, y) = \frac{2 \times \mathbf{1}_{\{x^2+y^2 \le 1/2\}}(\mathbf{x})}{\pi^2(x + y - 1)(x + y + 1)(x - y + 1)(x - y - 1)}. \tag{4.86}$$

Proof. Explicit solutions $(k, l) \in K^2$ to

$$x = \frac{\sin k}{2 \sin \phi(k, l)}, \quad y = \frac{\sin l}{2 \sin \phi(k, l)},$$

can be obtained as the function of x and y:

$$\cos k = \frac{1 - 3x^2 - y^2}{\sqrt{(x + y - 1)(x + y + 1)(x - y - 1)(x - y + 1)}}, \tag{4.87}$$

$$\cos l = -\frac{1 - 3y^2 - x^2}{\sqrt{(x + y - 1)(x + y + 1)(x - y - 1)(x - y + 1)}}. \tag{4.88}$$

The proof is completed by inserting Eqs. (4.87) and (4.88) into Lemma 4. □

When $d \ge 3$, the following system of equations in terms of $k_1, k_2, \ldots, k_d$ is generally difficult to solve directly:

$$x_1 = \frac{\sin k_1}{d \sin \phi(\mathbf{k})}, \; x_2 = \frac{\sin k_2}{d \sin \phi(\mathbf{k})}, \; \cdots, \; x_d = \frac{\sin k_d}{d \sin \phi(\mathbf{k})}.$$

So it is hard to obtain a closed expression ρ_d as a function of $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d$ at this stage. However, we can partially obtain the shape of ρ_d as shown below.

Theorem 5. *Let the support of ρ_d be $S_d \subset \mathbb{R}^d$. Then we have*

$$S_d \subseteq B_d,$$

where B_d is the d-dimensional sphere of radius $1/\sqrt{d}$.

Proof.

$$\sum_{j=1}^{d} x_j^2 = \sum_{j=1}^{d} \left(\frac{1}{d} \frac{\sin k_j}{\sin \phi(\mathbf{k})} \right)^2 = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{d} (1 - \cos^2 k_j)}{d^2 - \left(\sum_{j=1}^{d} \cos k_j \right)^2} \tag{4.89}$$

From the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality, we have

$$\left(\sum_{j=1}^{d} \cos k_j \right)^2 \le d \sum_{j=1}^{d} \cos^2 k_j. \tag{4.90}$$

Combining Eq. (4.89) with Eq. (4.90), we obtain

$$\sum_{j=1}^{d} x_j^2 \le 1/d.$$

In particular, $\sum_{j=1}^{d} x_j^2 = 1/d$ if and only if $c = \cos k_j$ for all $j \in \{1, \ldots, d\}$. □

We now investigate what happens on the boundary of sphere B_d, defined by ∂B_d.

Theorem 6. *Define V_d as the set of vertices of the d-dimensional cube inscribed in B_d, i.e., $V_d = \{\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \ldots, x_d) \in \mathbb{Z}^d : |x_j| = 1/d\}$. Then*

$$\rho_d(\mathbf{x}) = \begin{cases} \infty & : \mathbf{x} \in V_d, \\ 0 & : \mathbf{x} \in \partial B_d \setminus V_d \text{ with } d \geq 3, \\ \frac{4}{\pi^2 \cos^2 2\gamma} & : \mathbf{x} \in \partial B_d \setminus V_d \text{ with } d = 2, \end{cases}$$

where we put $x = 1/\sqrt{2} \cdot \cos\gamma$ and $y = 1/\sqrt{2} \cdot \sin\gamma$ for $d = 2$ case.

Proof. For $\mathbf{k} = (k_1, \ldots, k_d)$, let

$$f_j(\mathbf{k}) = \frac{1 - \cos^2 k_j}{d^2 - (\cos k_1 + \cdots + \cos k_d)^2} (= x_j^2). \tag{4.91}$$

From Eq. (4.90), we have

$$f_j(\mathbf{k}) \leq \frac{1}{d}. \tag{4.92}$$

We are interested in the solutions for which Eq. (4.92) becomes an equality. Equality holds if and only if $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \ldots, x_d) \in \partial B_d$; that is, $c_j \equiv \cos k_j = c$ for some $c \in [-1, 1]$. Recall that Lemma 4 states

$$|\det(\mathrm{H}_d)| = \prod_{l=1}^{d} \left(\frac{\cos k_l}{d \sin\phi(\mathbf{k})} \right) \left(1 - d\cos\phi(\mathbf{k}) \sum_{j=1}^{d} \frac{x_j^2}{\cos k_j} \right). \tag{4.93}$$

Since the product in the RHS becomes infinity when $|c| = 1$, we have to treat this case carefully.

(1) $|c| < 1$ case:
In this case, $\sin\phi(\mathbf{k}) = \sqrt{1 - c^2} \neq 0$ holds. Since $\mathbf{x} \in \partial B_d$, $\sum_{j=1}^{d} x_j^2 = 1/d$. Inserting $\cos k_j = c$ for all $j \in \{1, \ldots, d\}$ into Eq. (4.93), we get $\det(\mathrm{H}_d) = 0$. Moreover, inserting $\cos k_j = c$ for all $j \in \{1, \ldots, d\}$ into Eq. (4.91), we can observe $f_j(\mathbf{k}) = x_j^2 = 1/d^2$, implying that $(x_1, \ldots, x_d) \in V_d$. Therefore, from Eq. (4.85), $\rho_d(\mathrm{x})$ is infinity for $\mathrm{x} \in V_d$.

(2) $|c| = 1$ case:
First let $c = 1$. We take the limit of $(k_1, \ldots, k_d) \in \mathbb{R}^d$ to $(0, \ldots, 0)$. To this end, we put $k_j = k_j(\epsilon)$ with $\lim_{\epsilon \to 0} k_j(\epsilon) = 0$, $K_2(\epsilon) = \sum_{j=1}^{d} k_j^2(\epsilon)$ and $K_4(\epsilon) = \sum_{j=1}^{d} k_j^4(\epsilon)$. For any sufficiently small ϵ, we obtain

$$\cos k_j \sim 1 - \frac{1}{2} k_j^2(\epsilon) \left(1 - \frac{1}{12} k_j^2(\epsilon) \right), \ \sin k_j \sim k_j(\epsilon) \left(1 - \frac{1}{6} k_j^2(\epsilon) \right),$$
$$\cos\phi \sim 1 - \frac{K_2(\epsilon)}{2d} \left(1 - \frac{1}{12} \frac{K_4(\epsilon)}{K_2(\epsilon)} \right), \ \sin^2\phi \sim \frac{K_2(\epsilon)}{d} \left(1 - \frac{1}{12} \frac{K_4(\epsilon)}{K_2(\epsilon)} - \frac{1}{4d} K_2(\epsilon) \right).$$

Inserting these approximations into Eq. (4.91), we have

$$x_j^2 \sim \frac{k_j^2(\epsilon)}{d\, K_2(\epsilon)} \left(1 - \frac{k_j^2(\epsilon)}{3} + \frac{1}{12} \frac{K_4(\epsilon)}{K_2(\epsilon)} + \frac{1}{4d} K_2(\epsilon) \right). \tag{4.94}$$

From Eq. (4.94), we have

$$1 - d\cos\phi(\mathbf{k})\sum_{j=1}^{d}\frac{x_j^2}{\cos k_j} \sim -\frac{1}{4}\frac{K_4(\epsilon)}{K_2(\epsilon)} + \frac{1}{4\,d}K_2(\epsilon) \tag{4.95}$$

We also have

$$\prod_{j=1}^{d}\frac{c_j}{d\sin(\phi(\mathbf{k}))} \sim \frac{1}{(d\;K_2(\epsilon))^{d/2}}. \tag{4.96}$$

Combining Eq. (4.80) with Eqs. (4.95) and (4.96), we obtain

$$\det(\mathrm{H}_d) \sim \frac{1}{(d\;K_2(\epsilon))^{d/2}}\frac{K_2(\epsilon)}{4d}\left(1 - d\;\frac{K_4(\epsilon)}{K_2^2(\epsilon)}\right). \tag{4.97}$$

From the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we find that

$$\frac{K_4(\epsilon)}{K_2^2(\epsilon)} = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{d}k_j^4(\epsilon)}{\left(\sum_{j=1}^{d}k_j^2(\epsilon)\right)^2} \leq \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{d}k_j^4(\epsilon)}{d\;\sum_{j=1}^{d}k_j^4(\epsilon)} = \frac{1}{d}.$$

Note that the equality holds

$$\begin{aligned}&\Leftrightarrow k_j(\epsilon) = k(\epsilon) \text{ for every } j\\ &\Leftrightarrow \lim_{\epsilon\to 0}(x_1,\ldots,x_d) \in V_d.\end{aligned}$$

Moreover, from Eq. (4.97), the equality holds if and only if $\det(\mathrm{H}_d) = 0$ for every ϵ. On the other hand, if the inequality holds, we have

$$|\det(\mathrm{H}_d)| = \begin{cases}\infty & : d \geq 3,\\ \frac{1}{16}(1-2\kappa) & : d = 2,\end{cases} \tag{4.98}$$

where $\kappa = \lim_{\epsilon\to 0} K_4(\epsilon)/K_2^2(\epsilon)$. Similarly, for $c = -1$ case, specifying $k_j = \pi + k_j(\epsilon)$ and taking limit $\epsilon \to 0$, we also obtain Eq. (4.98). When $d = 2$, from Eq. (4.94), we can write x_1 and x_2 in terms of a parameter $\gamma\mathbb{R}$

$$x_1^2 = \frac{1}{2}\cos^2\gamma,\; x_2^2 = \frac{1}{2}\sin^2\gamma$$

in the limit of $\epsilon \to 0$. Inserting $\kappa = \cos^4\gamma + \sin^4\gamma$ into Eq. (4.98),

$$\frac{1}{4\pi^2}|\det(\mathrm{H}_2)|^{-1} = \frac{4}{\pi^2\cos^2 2\gamma}.$$

Indeed in Eq. (4.86), putting $x = 1/\sqrt{2}\cdot\cos\gamma$, $y = 1/\sqrt{2}\cdot\sin\gamma$, we have

$$\rho_2(x,y) = \frac{4}{\pi^2\cos^2 2\gamma}.$$

It is completed the proof.

□

Acknowledgments. We thank the anonymous referee for valuable comments. YuH's work was supported in part by JSPS Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (C) 20540113, 25400208 and (B) 24340031. NK and IS also acknowledge financial supports of the Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (C) from Japan Society for the Promotion of Science (Grant No. 24540116 and No. 23540176, respectively). ES thanks to the financial support of the Grant-in-Aid for Young Scientists (B) of Japan Society for the Promotion of Science (Grant No.25800088).

References

[1] A. Ambainis, Quantum walks and their algorithmic applications, International Journal of Quantum Information, **1** (2003) pp.507–518.

[2] E. Feldman and M. Hillery, Quantum walks on graphs and quantum scattering theory, Contemporary Mathematics, **381** (2005) pp.71–96.

[3] R. F. Feynman and A. R. Hibbs, Quantum Mechanics and Path Integrals, McGraw-Hill, Inc., New York, (1965).

[4] S. P. Gudder, Quantum Probability, Academic Press, 1988.

[5] Yu. Higuchi, N. Konno, I. Sato and E. Segawa, Quantum graph walks I: mapping to quantum walks, Yokohama Mathematical Journal **59** (2013) pp.33–55.

[6] Yu. Higuchi, N. Konno, I. Sato and E. Segawa, Quantum graph walks II: Quantum walks on graph coverings, Yokohama Mathematical Journal **59** (2013) pp.57–90.

[7] Yu. Higuchi and E. Segawa, An inheritance from the behavior of drifted random walks to the spreading speed of quantum walks on some magnifier graph, in preparation.

[8] Yu. Higuchi and T. Shirai, Some spectral and geometric properties for infinite graphs, Contemporary Mathematics **347** (2004) pp.29–56.

[9] N. Konno, Quantum random walks in one dimension, Quantum Information Processing **1** (2002) pp.345–354.

[10] N. Konno, A new type of limit theorems for the one-dimensional quantum random walk, Journal of the Mathematical Society of Japan **57** (2005) pp.1179–1195.

[11] B. Kollar, M. Stefanak, T. Kiss and I. Jex, Recurrences in three-state quantum walks on a plane, Physical Review A **82** (2010) 012303.

[12] N. Konno, T. Łuczak and E. Segawa, Limit measures of inhomogeneous discrete-time quantum walks in one dimension, Quantum Information Processing **12** (2013) pp.33-53.

[13] M. Kotani and T. Sunada, Albanese maps and off diagonal long time asymptotics for the heat kernel, Communications in Mathematical Physics **209** (2000) pp.633–670.

[14] M. Kotani, T. Sunada and T. Shirai, Asymptotic behavior of the transition probability of a random walk on an infinite graph, Journal of Functional Analysis **159** (1998) pp.664–689.

[15] R. Pemantle and M. C. Wilson, Asymptotic expansions of oscillatory integrals with complex phase, Contemporary Mathematics **520** (2010) pp.221–241.

[16] E. Segawa, Localization of quantum walks induced by recurrence properties of random walks, Journal of Computational and Theoretical Nanoscience: Special Issue: "Theoretical and Mathematical Aspects of the Discrete Time Quantum Walk" **10** (2013) pp.1583–1590.

[17] M. Stefanak, T. Kiss and I. Jex, Recurrence properties of unbiased coined quantum walks on infinite d-dimensional lattices, Physical Review A **78** (2008) 032306.

[18] E. M. Stein, Harmonic Analysis: Real-Variable Methods, Orthogonality, and Oscillatory Integrals, Monographs in Harmonic Analysis, Princeton Univ. Press (1993).

[19] M. Szegedy, Quantum speed-up of Markov chain based algorithms, Proc. 45th IEEE Symposium on Foundations of Computer Science (2004), pp.32–41.

[20] B. F. Venancio, F. M. Andrade and M. G. E. da Luz, Unveiling and exemplifying the unitary equivalence of discrete time quantum walk models, Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical **46** (2013) 165302.

[21] K. Watabe, N. Kobayashi, M. Katori and N. Konno, Limit distributions of two-dimensional quantum walks, Physical Review A **77** (2008) 062331.

[22] J. Watrous, Quantum simulations of classical random walks and undirected graph connectivity, Journal of Computer and System Sciences **62** (2001) pp. 376–391.